1	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
2	CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES
3	FOR MEDICAID SERVICES
4	
5	
6	"INTELLECTUAL AND DEVELOPMENT DISABILITIES
7	TECHNICAL ADVISORY MEETING"
8	
9	
10	
11	HELD AT:
12	
13	PUBLIC HEALTH BUILDING
14	275 EAST MAIN STREET
15	FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40621
16	
17	
18	DATE:
19	MAY 7, 2018
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	ATTENDEES:
3	
4	Rick Christman - KAPP
5	Johnny Callebs - KAPP
6	Katie Bentley - CCDD
7	Nicole Maher - CCDD
8	Wayne Harvey - KAPP
9	Alice Blackwell - DDID
10	Barb Locker - DDID
11	Elizabeth Kriess - DDID
12	Lori Gresham - DMS
13	Alisha Clark - DMS
14	Earl Gresham - DMS
15	Sherri Brothers - Arc of Kentucky
16	LeAnn Magre - WellCare
17	David Hanna - Passport
18	Steve Shannon - KARP
19	Camille Collins - P&A
20	Stayce Towles - DXC
21	Pam Smith
22	Kendra Sayres - Via telephone
23	Laura Presley - Via telephone
24	
25	

1	MR. CHRISTMAN: Well, there's many of those
2	items in the Navigant study we talked about
3	quite a bit here that really wasn't any big
4	surprises, but if we would, maybe just have
5	a conversation about that. One I noticed
6	was case management and, you know, the need
7	to, I guess, make some reforms on that. Do
8	you know, or how is that going to be
9	addressed? Are we going to organize a
10	group to stakeholders, or do you know
11	how that's going to be attacked?
12	MR. GRESHAM: First, we're going to
13	continue the town halls
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
15	MR. GRESHAM: get all the public
16	comments. Public comments stop for the
17	waiver box on June 15th. And then proceed
18	from there.
19	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So these are Navigant's
20	recommendations. So the first step is for
21	the Cabinet to decide what recommendations
22	to go forward with.
23	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, I thought you had
24	already looked at these.
25	MS. LORI GRESHAM: We've looked at them.

1	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah, okay.
2	MS. LORI GRESHAM: But based we want to
3	have the stakeholder involvement to
4	determine which recommendations to go
5	forward with. So that's the first step.
6	So all through the town halls, through the
7	e-mail box, pulling in what folks want. So
8	the process then to determine that is based
9	on what we hear from stakeholders, what we
10	hear from Cabinet individuals who work
11	in the Cabinet for those processes, all
12	those things. So we haven't determined
13	what that will look like, so
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay. So is it, I guess,
15	somewhat premature to talk about these
16	recommendations then? I mean, because you
17	haven't finish the town halls meetings?
18	MS. LORI GRESHAM: You can give us your
19	comment.
20	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay.
21	MS. LORI GRESHAM: You'll need to also
22	follow that up within the Cabinet's
23	prescribed ways of doing official public
24	comment, which is either the e-mail box,
25	town halls, through public testimony, or

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you can send it in writing to our office. MR. CHRISTMAN: Do you want to talk about the case management issue further, Sherri? MS. BROTHERS: Sure, I do. Some of the things that we have concerns on as far as case management -- I know we brought up some of them last time -- were, you know, testing, proper testing, proper training, the critical thinking skills, the problem solving skills, being trained properly on waiver services, as far as like goods and services, transportation, options as far as like knowing about Uber options, things like that for individuals to be able to get around on weekends and nights; residential options, not just having one option or two options for them as far as like educated on shared living, living service, technology houses, all of those different options, community, more community access for individuals.

And something else I think that's really important, because I get, like, complaints on this, is professionalism for case workers. I'm not sure how that's going

to be able to be trained. But, you know, when a caseworker who's under a specific agency makes comments that's more of a -- against certain classes of people, but they work with those classes of people and that's their job, I think that's really unprofessional. And I can kind of give you -- I'll just give you a certain example of that.

"For all the taxes that they take out of my paycheck, the least they can do is take a picture of a ghetto family that I'm supporting to hang on my refrigerator." I mean, that's -- to me that's really unprofessional of a case manager who works with these particular families. And, you know, that upsets me as an organization. So I don't know how we can go about training these professionals to have a more professional attitude, because they're representing our families, that's who our families are going to for support. MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah, I'm glad to see this as a recommendation in the Navigant because it is spotty. I think the quality of case

1

2.

3

4

1	management is very spotty. And they don't
2	all seem to have a very good handle on the
3	regulations, but what I'm going to kind of
4	read into this thing, too, it's going to be
5	less of a regulation-based guideline. What
6	I take from this Navigant thing is they're
7	going to replace maybe a lot of these
8	regulations with handbooks, with maybe more
9	user friendly. Am I reading that
10	correctly?
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So one of the
12	recommendations
13	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah, right, that I read
14	into this
15	MS. LORI GRESHAM: for the waiver
16	itself
17	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
18	MS. LORI GRESHAM: to be the point
19	MR. GRESHAM: Authority.
20	MS. LORI GRESHAM: authority, the
21	regulations to be more overarching theme,
22	and then to have handbooks and manuals
23	incorporated by reference as need be.
24	Again, these are their recommendations.
25	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. But that's what I

1	take from it, you know. Right.
2	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And that is what that
3	recommendation specifically is.
4	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.
5	Another thing was the pediatric
6	assessment. I know we talked about that
7	quite a bit. They recommended that,
8	assuming it stays in. Our problem is that
9	we can't find one. Is that right? I mean,
10	is that do they have one or
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So the preliminary
12	discussions about that is, is looking at
13	multiple tools and having pieces within
14	whatever tool is decided on to address
15	specific populations, for instance,
16	children with IDD, brain injury. So having
17	the tool and then having subsets of that
18	for various populations, to be able to
19	speak specifically to that population.
20	MR. CHRISTMAN: But do those tools exist?
21	I guess that's what I'm asking.
22	MS. LORI GRESHAM: There are lots of
23	assessment tools.
24	MR. CHRISTMAN: So they are out there?
25	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Right.

1	MR. CHRISTMAN: Because I thought that was
2	one of the problems we had all along, was
3	we couldn't find one.
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So there's lots of
5	assessment tools.
6	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.
7	MS. COLLINS: Is Navigant actually
8	recommending tools? Because that's what
9	I'm trying to get recommendations
10	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Once the Cabinet decides
11	what recommendations to accept, then we'll
12	work towards a fuller recommendation
13	package where we will be working with
14	stakeholders closer to determine the nuts
15	and bolts of that.
16	MR. CALLEBS: In the meantime, the current
17	assessment tool will be used with just more
18	people on the wait list; right?
19	MS. LORI GRESHAM: The assessment tool
20	doesn't put people on the wait list. The
21	applications do. Assessment happens after
22	wait list.
23	MR. CALLEBS: After, okay. But there's
24	still no do you just get on the wait
25	list simply by request and then you'll be

9

Ī	The freeting may 1, 2010
1	assessed after; is that
2	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Currently, yes.
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: We're talking about the
4	yeah, and the pediatric portion of it.
5	Right, right.
6	MR. CALLEBS: Yes. Michelle P., in
7	particular, where you just have
8	MR. CHRISTMAN: Correct.
9	MR. CALLEBS: anyone can apply and then
10	you get on the wait list, and then an
11	assessment happens after the fact.
12	MR. GRESHAM: With the exception that they
13	have to have an ID or DD checked on the
14	application.
15	MR. CALLEBS: Right, okay.
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: But it sounds like you have
17	some confidence that if, let's say, this
18	stays in that you have will be able to
19	implement that recommendation.
20	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh.
21	MR. CHRISTMAN: You have some confidence in
22	that.
23	I had a question on the needs-based
24	budgeting. Did everyone understand what
25	they were asking about there or what their

1	recommendation was, how that was to work?
2	Do you know what they meant by that
3	particularly?
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So we will be working
5	with CMS to do methodology currently, as
6	most of you know, budgets are done based on
7	historic usage. We will be then looking at
8	doing again, if we accept the
9	recommendation, we will look at tying
10	budget methodology to their assessed need,
11	as opposed to it's not one size it
12	will be one size fits all, not historic.
13	MR. CHRISTMAN: So each individual will
14	have a budget that will be unique to them?
15	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: So we're getting away from
17	rates, then, or what
18	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Rates are not the same
19	as budgets, no.
20	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay. So
21	MR. CALLEBS: This will be particular to
22	PDS, people who elect a PDS
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Not by their
24	recommendations. It will be individualized
25	budgets, again, if we choose to accept

1	them.
2	MR. CALLEBS: Okay.
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: So I take it there won't be
4	limitations on rates or on the number of
5	rates I mean, the number of services you
6	can access, or the number of units; right?
7	Like the 40-hour rule they mention here?
8	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh.
9	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah. What do they mean by
10	that? I mean, what
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So it will be based on
12	their assessed need. The methodology will
13	say based on what you've shown to need,
14	here is your budget. And then based on
15	that, then, within the regulations and the
16	requirements of each service, you can
17	maintain that within that service.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: And I suppose they're
19	talking about units of service that a
20	person might receive then?
21	MR. GRESHAM: It's a dollar amount.
22	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, dollar amount.
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: The budget will be the
24	dollar amount figure.
25	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, dollar amount. Okay.

n.
ı
ı
1
ı
Ī.
. 0
es
.s
f
or
t t

1	MS. LORI GRESHAM: I'm not sure. I'll ask.
2	MS. BROTHERS: So this plan of care, this
3	budgeted amount, does it take into
4	consideration all of the needs and services
5	that they already have in place?
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: It would be based on
7	their assessed need, whatever that is.
8	MS. BROTHERS: And that's what their
9	budgeted amount will be?
10	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
11	MS. BROTHERS: What if they had greater
12	needs that come up? Does that budget
13	increase?
14	MS. LORI GRESHAM: They would have to do a
15	new assessment to get a new budget.
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: Did anybody else have
17	questions on the Navigant study you would
18	like to pose now? Yes, go ahead.
19	MS. COLLINS: I don't have a Navigant study
20	in front of me, so I'll try to I had a
21	question on there was a recommendation
22	made about the quality team that would be
23	put together with members of DMS, DAIL and
24	DBHDID. So to me it looked like it was
25	quality, like with QA currently through

1	DDID. So is that recommending that you
2	eliminate QAs with DDID and base them I
3	just didn't understand that recommendation.
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So I think they're
5	and this is, again, going from memory. I
6	think there are two different
7	recommendations about quality. There is
8	internal quality, external quality. So the
9	internal quality actually, all quality
10	per CMS's requirements falls to DMS. It's
11	our responsibility to monitor that. So
12	looking at the oversight of quality, it
13	will be housed if we accept the
14	recommendation, will be housed within DMS.
15	That does not mean that the nuts and bolts
16	of that will not be shared by the sister
17	agencies, but ultimately we are responsible
18	for answering to that. Does that make
19	sense?
20	MS. COLLINS: Yeah. I'm still I mean,
21	just the way it's written, it's not to
22	me it wasn't very clear. I don't know if
23	anybody else read that recommendation.
24	MR. CHRISTMAN: Well, I kind of read it
25	that maybe going forward, or at some point

1	maybe all certifications would be done by
2	the Department of Medicaid Services rather
3	than DDID.
4	MS. COLLINS: And that kind of wasn't clear
5	to me.
6	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah, that's what I kind of
7	read into it. I don't know.
8	MS. COLLINS: Yeah, that's what I was
9	trying to
10	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And I think that's
12	really more to be determined, once we
13	decide whether we accept that
14	recommendation.
15	MS. COLLINS: Right. I think understanding
16	what the recommendation is, because if
17	you're not sure, you know, if you're going
18	to follow that recommendation.
19	Understanding what exactly they're
20	recommending, I still don't think is clear.
21	MR. CHRISTMAN: Well, I'd like to comment
22	on that because, you know, I understand,
23	for sake of efficiency, why DMS probably
24	should be doing everything. On the other
25	hand, I know that Medicaid is sort of a

medical-based model and a lot of the people in Medicaid, obviously, want to see improvement. You know, they want to see, oh, you're getting better, you're in the hospital, you're getting out in this many days, or you're showing whatever. You know, you're improving; right? That's what medical services are about.

Well, in our case it doesn't, you know. The condition doesn't change and the people, I think, that have an understanding of that are DDID, right. And so I guess I'm of two minds of this. I understand why DMS makes a lot of sense. I'm also concerned that without DDID's input, that we're going to get up -- go closer to the medical model and we're going to have a lot of problems with our plans of care and that sort of thing. Does that make sense?

MS. COLLINS: Uh-huh (affirmative).

MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah. So I don't know.

I'm of two minds on that -- or of a

Anybody else want to talk? Yeah, go ahead, Steve.

recommendation like that.

1	MR. SHANNON: There's a reference to the
2	TAC and the MAC, which is fine. Where does
3	the HCB waiver fall in the role of TACs?
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Home health.
5	MS. MAHER: I had a just a
6	clarification. So with the budgeting,
7	nothing is changing except for the way that
8	the budget is going to be allocated.
9	Everything else is still the same. Like my
10	daughter has we get a budget every year.
11	I determine the rate. And that would be
12	the same, you're just not going to go on my
13	history. You're going to assess her needs
14	and that would determine the budget.
15	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Her PDS?
16	MS. MAHER: Yes.
17	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes.
18	MR. SHANNON: And the Division is going the
19	same way, isn't it?
20	MS. LORI GRESHAM: You won't be determining
21	rates in traditional.
22	MR. SHANNON: Right. Yes, the budget.
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes, the budget itself
24	with that recommendation, that is what
25	we're looking at. Rates are also being

addressed, as you-all can see in one of the other recommendations. But budgeting, in and of itself -- it's a very different way for Kentucky to look at budgets, because historically for, let's say, HCB, you look at the past usage within HCB and that's what everybody gets. And then they have to file an exception if they need more, all those things. This assesses the individual, says here's what your assessed need is, and then budget is done that way.

And to Sherri's point, if there is a need increase, then we would need to assess that need to allow for a budget change, so that it is truly based on that individual and not just an arbitrary number. Some folks will get more. Of course, we still have to remain cost neutral for CMS, but some folks will get more, because it's not historical anymore. Some folks may get less because they were, again, given an arbitrary budget.

MS. MAHER: Right.

MR. CHRISTMAN: And I may not know -- I mean, I'll just ask this question because I

1	don't know the answer. But with and I
2	should know, but I don't. But with the
3	consumer-directed option, are there
4	limitations, even with that, as to how many
5	units of service you can access the way it
6	is now?
7	MS. LORI GRESHAM: It depends on the
8	waiver.
9	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. But let's say
10	Michelle P., is there some limitation like
11	they talk about the 40-hour rule, right.
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
13	MR. CHRISTMAN: So we're probably talking
14	about suspending that then, right, when
15	we're talking I mean, likely?
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Possibly, yeah.
17	MR. CHRISTMAN: I mean, that's what I would
18	think if it's all individualized. There
19	wouldn't be those kinds of limitations.
20	Any other questions?
21	MR. CALLEBS: May I just make one general
22	comment just about some feedback I've been
23	getting from the three town halls that have
24	already been held, is just a comment on the
25	fact that questions aren't allowed to be

asked, so there is really -- I've had some -- several people comment that the recommendations were kind of announced or read to the audience, but there's no kind of back and forth or Q and A. You can give a comment at the end, but there's no real opportunity for -- you know, a comment, you know, based on something that's presented, a question comes to mind I'd like to ask, but it's not allowed. And so just to comment on that.

MS. LORI GRESHAM: So we hand out comment cards at the beginning. And the reason we do that is because the intent at the end of all the town halls is we will do an FAQ, so that everybody gets the same answer to every question. That way people who are in Owensboro don't hear one set of questions and people in Western -- Eastern Kentucky hear another set. So at the end -- and we tell them that in the presentation, please put your questions on this card, we will address them in an FAQ at the end of the town halls. That's so everybody gets the same information. At our focus groups we

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heard a lot, well, people in the Western part of the state hear different things than people in the Eastern part of the state, and so we are trying to make sure everybody gets the same information. there is a way to submit questions and, no, we don't answer them right then because we want to answer them for everyone. that make sense? MR. CALLEBS: Okay. MS. MAHER: My only concern with that as a parent is that as being a professional in the industry I see all of that. It comes through my professional e-mail. I'm signed up for so many things. But as a parent, I never received a Navigant study. So going into the town hall in the mindset of a parent, I wouldn't see an opportunity to stand up or leave comment because I didn't know what was going to be presented. So I think, like almost everybody that stood up, because I was at the three, they were all almost agency or professionals. We had one parent, right?

MS. LORI GRESHAM: Two.

1	MS. MAHER: Okay. And that kind of, as a
2	parent and as an advocate, bothered me
3	because I don't feel like we're giving
4	parents a chance to really make their
5	influence or their thoughts known.
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So how we typically
7	in the past, we didn't I mean, it was
8	within your entities and that's all. So we
9	send it to all our advocates. Anybody that
10	responds, anybody that was a focus group,
11	anybody that I have an e-mail address for
12	gets it through the e-box that I have. And
13	if I don't have your e-mail address, I
14	can't send it out.
15	MS. MAHER: I made a comment to the e-mail
16	address from my personal and I never
17	received a Navigant study.
18	MS. LORI GRESHAM: I can look and see,
19	because I get a lot of kickbacks that say
20	so-and-so's e-mail wouldn't Yahoo for
21	some reason and I don't know if that's
22	what you have. But Yahoo hates our e-mails
23	and so it kicks it back and say, no, we
24	don't like this.
25	MS. MAHER: But it's all entered into the

1 database. If you make comment via the 2. e-mail, you would have the parents' e-mail 3 address then. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yeah. So that's even 4 5 above what we typically do. We send it to 6 all of our advocacy groups, we send it to 7 the case managers through MWMA, because 8 they are all on there, and say please give 9 this to your individuals. And so if you 10 have a better way for us to do that, 11 please, we are very open to doing that. 12 That's just currently the mechanism we 13 have. 14 MS. BROTHERS: Can I make a comment on 15 that? I got a call last week about all of 16 this, and a family called me and they said 17 that their case manager had never told them 18 about any of this. So they asked me about 19 the town hall meeting and they wanted to 20 They didn't know anything about any of 21 this stuff. And so I sent them this report 22 and I told them when the town hall was 23 going to be, and it was the very next day. 24 And they were, like, why didn't my case 25 manager tell me? And that's concerning for

1 So that, again, is another incidence me. 2. that I'm kind of upset about case managers. And so I sent them all the information, 3 4 told them when it was going to be, and I 5 hope, you know, the family made it. And so I'm putting everything out 6 7 there, you know, on Facebook. I'm putting 8 it everywhere I can. I'm sending it to 9 everybody, but there's still families who 10 are not getting this information, I mean, 11 all over the state. So I wish I knew how we 12 could get it to them better, but I really --13 you know, the case managers, I feel like, is 14 the best way, but I don't feel like they're 15 handing it out to their clientele. I just 16 don't feel like they're getting it to the 17 families. 18 MS. MAHER: I have a wonderful, very 19 professional case manager, and she never 20 brought it up to me. 21 MS. BROTHERS: See, that's what I'm afraid 22 I just don't feel like they're getting 23 it to the families. So I feel like your

I do, too.

MS. MAHER:

families are missing it. I mean, I --

24

25

1	MS. BROTHERS: communicate to the people
2	that, you know, I feel like I can get to.
3	MS. LORI GRESHAM: That you touch.
4	MS. BROTHERS: Yeah. But I still feel like
5	we're missing a lot of families.
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And if you-all have
7	ideas how to do that, we are open to that.
8	We want as many individuals to hear the
9	information as possible.
10	MS. COLLINS: When you load it up to MWMA,
11	is there a direction to them to dissipate
12	that to family members?
13	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: Just one more question I
15	have. So after the town hall meetings,
16	then you will obviously take all that in
17	consideration, you will finalize how you
18	think waiver design should be based on
19	everything that's been gathered.
20	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Right.
21	MR. CHRISTMAN: Is there another comment
22	period after that?
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yeah. So after all of
24	the public comment, even Navigant will go
25	and look at their recommendation. So if we

1	get an influx that says which I don't
2	anticipate that, no, don't touch case
3	management obviously, in this group that
4	is not the feeling.
5	MR. CHRISTMAN: Probably not.
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: But let's say we get a
7	huge influx of, no, don't touch case
8	management, then they would go back and
9	look at the recommendation based on that
10	and have the the next package will be a
11	more robust recommendation. So there will
12	be public comment with that. In
13	addition
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: So this when you say the
15	robust, that will be coming after the town
16	hall meetings; right?
17	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: Do you have a date when you
19	think that might be?
20	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Summer is all we know.
21	MR. CHRISTMAN: Summer.
22	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yeah. So then we also
23	have to write waivers. So any time we have
24	waivers, we do public comment. And our
25	hope is to be able to do very similar

1	outreach, very similar town halls. And,
2	again, if there are ways for us to improve
3	that process, by all means please give us
4	that information, because we I mean,
5	that's even part of the process. So
6	absolutely there will be
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
8	MS. LORI GRESHAM: more.
9	MR. CHRISTMAN: And then the federal
10	government will have to approve all this,
11	too; right?
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Correct.
13	MR. CHRISTMAN: So what is the story on
14	that? I mean, how long does this take
15	now
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So as we are drafting
17	the waiver
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.
19	MS. LORI GRESHAM: we will be speaking
20	with CMS to say, hey, here's what
21	Kentucky's thoughts are. We did that,
22	we've done that in the past, for instance,
23	with final rule. And having those upfront
24	conversations to say here's what we're
25	thinking, here's the stakeholder input we

1	got preliminarily will help those processes
2	go a little faster, because then they'll
3	know what's coming. We still can't submit
4	the waiver. Like, we can't submit Appendix
5	A and B. You have to submit the whole
6	thing, but they'll know what it says before
7	they get it, so
8	MR. CHRISTMAN: Uh-huh (affirmative).
9	Would you hope by this time next year that
10	everything will be ensconced and that the
11	waiver will be
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Honestly, I have no
13	MR. CHRISTMAN: What would your hope be?
14	MS. LORI GRESHAM: My hope would be yes.
15	MR. CHRISTMAN: Would be yes, yes.
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: But, for instance, with
17	final rule, we sent them heightened
18	scrutiny providers almost a year and a half
19	ago now, and have been silent. And I ask
20	them probably every two weeks, have you
21	heard anything? It's coming soon, it's
22	coming soon. And so honestly I can't even
23	begin to guess how long they will take.
24	But that's our hope, yeah.
25	MR. CHRISTMAN: Sure.

1	MS. BENTLEY: I have a question. So when
2	you were talking about that there will be a
3	more, a full report that will be put
4	together, and then will will there be
5	more town halls or will it just be like
6	a
7	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Our hope is to have more
8	town halls.
9	MS. BENTLEY: Hope is to have more, okay.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. CALLEBS: And may I ask one more? Just
12	by robust recommendations, you mean
13	something with more specificity and
14	detail
15	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yeah.
16	MR. CALLEBS: about each of these of
17	these ten, those that you choose to adopt
18	and move forward with, then the next, those
19	recommendations will come out with more
20	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
21	MR. CALLEBS: substantive things that
22	changes expected to be made?
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes. And in speaking
24	with so one of the recommendations is a
25	two-faced approach; right? It's the "let's

1 get our house in order first," so that 2. we -- because, quite honestly, we don't 3 have a lot of good data. Even down to our 4 assessments, they're not quick access data. 5 For instance, if I want to know how many 6 people on our waivers need assistance with 7 ambulation, that is a manual data pool. 8 would have to go to each assessment and do 9 tally marks. Not a good way to get a study 10 -- to get data. So optimizing what we have 11 so that we have good data, so we can do a 12 rate methodology study, do all those things 13 so that we have good waivers, what we have 14 now in place. Part of that is, okay, we 15 heard the comments from the folks that have 16 commented. Then getting together and say, 17 okay, what do we think that looks like. 18 And by allowing -- and I fully take in that 19 we're not getting families as adequately as 20 we would like, but even within that -- this 21 way of doing public comment is much more 22 inclusive than it has ever been for 23 waivers, by far. And so looking at that and saying, one, how else can we continue 24 25 to improve it. We will always try to

continue to improve stakeholder engagement.

But, okay, we've gotten -- we've gotten
that information, let's go back and draft,
let's send that out, because, quite
honestly, and we've heard we want to be at
the table. That's our way of getting
everyone at the table, because quite
honestly we can't bring 50 people in a room
and all of us sit down and draft a waiver.

Nothing would ever get finished. So this
is our way to bring everyone to that table.

Everything that we put out is a draft.

Everything that we put out is a draft. And I know in the past that has not been seen, but one of the things that we heard from folks when they looked at these recommendations, they saw what they said in the focus groups right there. Yes, you-all heard it. We heard time and time again about case managers. Some are phenomenal case managers, some are not. Support brokers across the state are different. All those things we drew from, and Navigant drew specifically from those focus groups, and from interviewing our staff.

And, quite honestly, a lot of the

2.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things that our staff -- when I say "our staff," I mean all of Cabinet staff, even within the Cabinet, the stakeholder relationship between DMS, DBHDID, DAIL, even the Ombudsman's Office is much more robust than it has ever been. And so pulling all of that in and then coming back and saying, okay, here's the start of it, tell us what you think, so that we can continue those is much different than we've ever done, and hopefully you-all can see that in the touches within this.

Of course, we can't make everyone happy with every recommendation or with every change that we make, but we really, by and large, feel like we're really taking the time to listen to folks. And, again, if you can think of how we can reach families better, please, please, please don't hesitate to send that right to me because we'll work on it diligently. MR. CHRISTMAN: The next item on our -unless there's any other comments on that,

the next item on our agenda is the -- it's the electronic verification. And Johnny

1	had some questions on that, and you want an
2	update, I guess, on what that
3	MR. CALLEBS: Sure. I'm just hearing a lot
4	of national conversations about it and how
5	it works
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes.
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: I am too.
8	MR. CALLEBS: and is becoming. The
9	clock is ticking and I know various states
10	are trying to get more guidance from CMS.
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes. So the Secretary
12	when all of this started said at the
13	beginning of this year she was going to
14	give more conversation to us about what EVV
15	means, and it's been silent. You may have
16	seen the national directors sent her a nice
17	letter and said, where's our information.
18	Currently we're working with OATS, whose
19	our IT folks. We have looked at what is
20	available and our next hope they're
21	putting together a recommendation with what
22	our current IT system, the infrastructure,
23	can take in. For instance, how it would
24	work with MMIS and for us within MWMA, but
25	also having in mind that down the road our

2.

home health entities have to pull into that system as well. So they're trying to look at big picture and say how do we do this.

Our next step is they will give us some thoughts and recommendations, then we will reach out and say, okay -- one of the things is an open model versus a closed model versus a mixed model. So knowing what providers we have -- do any of our providers have EVV? If so, how do we incorporate that and make sure that we have the information that CMS requires, those kind of things.

The other piece that I have been very open with OATS to talk about is that we need to make sure that our PDS families -- because really that's the folks that it's going to hit the hardest -- not hit the hardest, but that will be the most intimately involved with that, is getting some education about what EVV even means. We've heard from some of our friends, that's all I say, that somebody is telling folks that we're going to put a chip in folks' arms. And I can tell you Kentucky will not be putting anybody -- chips in anyone's arms

2.

for this initiative. We have not made decisions, but I can tell you with all certainty that Kentucky will not do that for this initiative. But then kind of knowing what is out there.

Really, we're waiting on CMS to make any decisions because they gave us kind of vague instructions about here's six things that have to be included, but further instruction will come. And so to make any full, hard decisions is really premature at this time because CMS has not given concrete instructions.

MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.

MS. LORI GRESHAM: So we're really just kind of waiting to hear from them. I do know that our OATS team talked with somebody at CMS, because MWMA is working on some stuff with CMS. But in discussing that we have asked for a good faith extension that you may have heard in the --MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, there is? Yeah.

MS. LORI GRESHAM: -- the discussion, and they informally gave us a good faith extension to say, yeah, we'll wait. And I

TODD & ASSOCIATES REPORTING, INC. 36 859.223.2322 office@toddreporting.com

1	think they are doing that with all
2	states
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: Sure.
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: because they realize
5	nobody has any more information other than,
6	hey, you are going to have to have some
7	electronic system that tells you A, B, C
8	and D, and that's really all we have.
9	MR. CHRISTMAN: Would it be safe to say
10	we're not talking about services like
11	residential or ADT?
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: No. Residential and ADT
13	are the only services that are required
14	for EVV are in-home personal care services.
15	That's it. That's the only services that
16	are required by EVV mandate.
17	MR. CHRISTMAN: So not community access,
18	not okay.
19	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Unless part of those
20	touch personal care. Now, if they touch
21	personal care, then we'll have to include
22	them. But if it's in-home personal care
23	services are currently again, CMS may
24	change it, but that's currently what
25	touches it.

1	MR. CHRISTMAN: Are those I mean, those
2	are not in they SCL waiver, are they, or
3	are they
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: They are.
5	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, they are. Right, okay.
6	MR. CALLEBS: I'm kind of hearing, you
7	know, some conflicting stories that our
8	national folks, Anchor, have been kind of
9	taking on the issue, and they have met with
10	CMS officials who have said that they
11	intend to interpret it more broadly and
12	that, yes, it will be inclusive of HCBS
13	services, and if personal care is in the
14	service definition, many services, possibly
15	even including residential
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Right.
17	MR. CALLEBS: that that could be
18	required as well.
19	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And if you read the
20	CARES Act, it specifically says personal
21	in-home services.
22	MR. CALLEBS: Yes.
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And that's why Kentucky
24	is not making any hard decisions because
25	you do hear murmurings in the back that,

1	oh, no, CMS is going to expand this and all
2	that. And because we haven't gotten that
3	final guidance, it would be foolish of us
4	to move forward with plans that we may
5	have to broaden, we may have to but we
6	have not received word that it will extend
7	to that.
8	MR. CHRISTMAN: Is this extension
9	indefinite that you received from the
10	federal government? Is it for an
11	extended
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: All they say is we'll
13	extend it. I mean, that's
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: So they haven't put an end
15	date on it?
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: No. We'll get that
17	information soon. That's what we hear,
18	we'll get that information soon. So, no,
19	there's not there's really not a lot of
20	good communication from CMS about it.
21	MR. CALLEBS: So hopefully it's interpreted
22	narrowly and only applies to
23	MR. CHRISTMAN: In-home
24	MR. CALLEBS: a person getting service
25	in their own home.

1	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Right. That's currently
2	how Kentucky I mean, that's what it
3	says, but we have I mean, the national
4	board of directors have said we heard this,
5	but we don't have any guidance, can you
6	please and so that's really all we have
7	heard.
8	MR. CALLEBS: Thank you.
9	MS. LORI GRESHAM: You're welcome.
10	MR. CHRISTMAN: Johnny, you also had some
11	questions about the SCL rate
12	implementation, how that was going to
13	occur.
14	MR. CALLEBS: Sure. With the session
15	ending and the next biannual budget passed,
16	there was a 10 percent rate increase for
17	all SCL services. And so I just wanted to
18	ask, you know, how are we on schedule
19	for implementation July 1, at the start of
20	the budget year? Are there any other steps
21	that have to be taken in order to implement
22	the 10 percent increase on July 1?
23	MR. GRESHAM: We're having to discuss that
24	with Commissioner's Office. If we do it
25	the way that we're really kind of supposed

1	to do it, we have to submit that to CMS as
2	a waiver amendment, which is a process that
3	takes six months, which there is no way to
4	be in place by July 1. If we do it drop
5	E-Reg and be out of compliance with the
6	waiver, that may be an option. I don't
7	know if that's something anybody wants to
8	do. I don't know what the I don't know
9	what our action is yet.
10	MS. LORI GRESHAM: With the Commissioner's
11	Office
12	MR. GRESHAM: We're finding out yeah,
13	we're talking about it with the
14	Commissioner's Office and we'll be able to
15	find that out in the next week or so.
16	MR. CALLEBS: So one option would be a
17	waiver amendment to CMS?
18	MR. GRESHAM: And see if they even allow
19	it, yes.
20	MR. CALLEBS: Is there any reason to
21	MR. GRESHAM: We don't have a rate
22	methodology for the increase, other than
23	the regulation is telling us to increase
24	it. So I don't know I've never
25	experienced that before, so I don't know

I	The freeting May 7, 2010
1	how they'll react.
2	MR. CALLEBS: Okay.
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: Have we prepared the waiver
4	amendment request or are we still waiting
5	on that or
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: We have to the
7	Commissioner's Office has to decide which
8	route they want to go before we
9	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay.
10	MR. GRESHAM: And as far as manual waiver,
11	that's not a lengthy process. The lengthy
12	process is the public comment
13	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, yeah.
14	MR. GRESHAM: and getting then it
15	goes to CMS and depending on how long it
16	takes to get it approved. Usually it's
17	about a six-month process when things are
18	moving good.
19	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay. Well, that's
20	interesting.
21	MR. CALLEBS: Because of the rate each
22	individual rate is a part of the waiver
23	application.
24	MR. GRESHAM: That's correct. It's
25	Appendix J on the financials.

1	MR. CHRISTMAN: But among the
2	considerations, I assume, is to implement
3	it July 1st. I mean, that's
4	MR. GRESHAM: Right now, yes.
5	MR. CHRISTMAN: It is among the
6	considerations?
7	MR. GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
8	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah. Well, here's SCL and
9	Michelle P. wait list numbers.
10	MS. CLARK: Our total on the most recent is
11	6,285, and 68 percent are under the age of
12	21.
13	MR. CALLEBS: Did you say 6,285?
14	MS. CLARK: 6,285.
15	MR. CALLEBS: For Michelle P. on the wait
16	list?
17	MS. CLARK: On the wait list total. And
18	then 68 percent of those are under the age
19	of 21.
20	MR. CALLEBS: Do you know how many are
21	currently in Michelle P. Waiver, active
22	participants? Do you have that?
23	MR. GRESHAM: I believe it's 10,117 or 77,
24	10,177, somewhere in there.
25	MR. CALLEBS: Okay, thank you.

1	MR. SHANNON: Are there any Michelle P.
2	slots available? There's no expansion for
3	the next two years.
4	MR. GRESHAM: We have up to 10,500. We
5	currently have 200 or 250 slots out.
6	MS. CLARK: 250.
7	MR. GRESHAM: 250 slots out for either
8	200 or 250 slots allocated. We're waiting
9	for those to come back and get all that,
10	see how many people we have after that, and
11	then we'll submit more. Since 2014, I
12	think we have allocated close to 3,500
13	slots.
14	MR. CHRISTMAN: Since when?
15	MR. GRESHAM: 2014.
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: 2014.
17	MR. GRESHAM: And we have filled about 300.
18	Also of interest is we recently sent
19	out 500 names to the CMHCs and they're doing
20	a preliminary assessment to see if they need
21	to stay on the wait list. If they don't,
22	then we will be sending and those
23	assessments come in to us. We have a team
24	of nurses that are reviewing it. And then
25	if they do not if they're not deemed to

1	stay on the wait list, we'll send them a
2	denial with appeal rights. Once that's
3	processed, then people start moving down the
4	wait list towards more appropriate.
5	MS. COLLINS: How are requests
6	MS. LORI GRESHAM: First come, first serve.
7	First on the wait list, first
8	MS. COLLINS: Again, when were the 250
9	slots allocated?
10	MS. CLARK: It's actually 200, and I want
11	to say January 18th, I believe.
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: 8th, January 8th.
13	MR. CALLEBS: 200 slots on January 8th went
14	out?
15	MS. CLARK: (Nods head.)
16	MR. SHANNON: You said 3,500 have been
17	allocated, 300 utilized?
18	MR. GRESHAM: Approximately.
19	MR. SHANNON: What's the status of the
20	3,200? Are they counted in the 10,000
21	or
22	MR. GRESHAM: The 3,200 did not meet.
23	MR. SHANNON: Okay.
24	MR. GRESHAM: Or did not request an
25	assessment or some even said I don't

1	know why I'm signed up for this, take me
2	off.
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: 300 out of 3,500.
4	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And if they appeal that,
5	then we have to hold on to that slot until
6	the appeal process is done. That's why it
7	takes quite a while
8	MR. CHRISTMAN: So it's a very long I
9	mean, that's why it's hard
10	MS. SMITH: It's a long process.
11	MR. CHRISTMAN: Wow, that's an amazing
12	ratio.
13	MR. GRESHAM: The only slots we'll get,
14	Steve I believe it was you that asked
15	the question is the ones at the end of
16	the waiver year that either move out of
17	state or pass, or whatever.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah. Slightly less than
19	10 percent are actually eligible on the
20	waiting list.
21	MR. GRESHAM: Right.
22	MS. BROTHERS: And how long did you say it
23	would take you to get to use the 200?
24	How long did you say?
25	MR. GRESHAM: How long before we reallocate

ı	
1	again?
2	MS. BROTHERS: Uh-huh (affirmative).
3	MR. GRESHAM: Probably within the next
4	month or so. We're running reports to make
5	sure because the last thing we want to
6	do is allocate too many and actually get
7	people on and go over the number, because
8	that would be bad. Those would be 100
9	percent state funded. So probably within
10	the next month or so.
11	MR. CHRISTMAN: Do we know how many SCL are
12	on the emergency list, or is that
13	MS. BLACKWELL: We have currently right
14	now we have two people in the emergency
15	there's 2,449 people on the waiting list in
16	SCL. We're allocating funding to those who
17	meet the emergency criteria, so it's just a
18	process of
19	MR. CHRISTMAN: Like, over the last few
20	years, I mean, how many slots open up each
21	year because of death or moving out of
22	state or
23	MS. BLACKWELL: This year those are the
24	slots that we have to allocate, and this
25	year we had 184. I can't tell you what it

Í	IDD TAC Meeting May 1, 2010
1	was in the past.
2	MR. CHRISTMAN: It doesn't sound out of
3	proportion?
4	MS. BLACKWELL: No.
5	MR. SHANNON: Roughly, how many are there
6	today?
7	MS. BLACKWELL: Right now we have let's
8	see, we've allocated 49
9	MR. SHANNON: Okay.
10	MS. BLACKWELL: for this year so far.
11	So we have 145 that we're working.
12	MR. CALLEBS: During the calendar year or
13	plan year?
14	MS. BLACKWELL: Waiver year.
15	MR. SHANNON: That's through February of
16	next year?
17	MS. BLACKWELL: (Nods head.)
18	MS. BROTHERS: But don't you have continue
19	people come on that continually, wanting
20	to be on SCL? I mean, you have this
21	continually waiting list; right, the people
22	that want to be on there?
23	MS. BLACKWELL: You can get placed on the
24	waiting list at any time during the year.
25	The allocations will occur throughout the

1	year until we run out.
2	MR. CALLEBS: Are any of the allocations
3	being made to anybody except emergency?
4	Are they being held back for emergencies?
5	MS. BLACKWELL: They're being allocated as
6	people meet emergency.
7	MR. SHANNON: Is that sufficient numbers
8	based on past years' experience? Close? I
9	mean
10	MS. BLACKWELL: We've had we haven't had
11	emergency waiting lists frequently, but we
12	have had it, because we didn't hit any,
13	so
14	MR. SHANNON: I remember a couple years ago
15	waiting on CMS approval for some slots.
16	State general fund dollars were used.
17	That's my concern, is that those state
18	general fund dollars are going to be
19	utilized for these services. And then
20	what's the plan after that?
21	MR. GRESHAM: Until new slots are budgeted,
22	we don't have a plan. There's nothing else
23	we can do.
24	MS. BROTHERS: Some of these individuals
25	who are on, like, other waivers, such as

1	Michelle P., don't you feel like they could
2	be serviced better with all their needs on
3	the SCL?
4	MS. BLACKWELL: Well, it depends. What's
5	offered in SCL is the residential
6	MS. BROTHERS: Right.
7	MS. BLACKWELL: component, which isn't
8	in Michelle P.
9	MS. BROTHERS: But their needs sometimes
10	grow to, as they age, and you know, they
11	have to have more
12	MS. CLARK: They can request to be you
13	know, send in the emergency form to be
14	looked at for emergency status for SCL at
15	that time.
16	MS. BROTHERS: I'm just worried that there
17	might not be enough slots and then there's
18	no dollars for those slots.
19	MR. CALLEBS: So the number of slots
20	available since March 1st of the year is
21	how many?
22	MS. BLACKWELL: 184.
23	MR. CALLEBS: 184. Thank you.
24	MS. BLACKWELL: Uh-huh (affirmative).
25	MR. CHRISTMAN: We had another agenda item.

1	As you know, the General Assembly passed a
2	resolution looking for a study, rate study
3	of SCL; right, Johnny? SCL rate study to
4	be performed by the LRC.
5	MR. CALLEBS: Well, I think that was
6	just
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: Is there an update on
8	MS. LORI GRESHAM: We are working with Van
9	Nolls. Van Nolls is the guy who's doing
10	that and we are working with him as part of
11	redesign. We've reached out to him. He
12	will be it will be a part of that and
13	know exactly what's going on so that they
14	can say whether things are going
15	appropriately.
16	MR. CALLEBS: So those are doing the the
17	program review and kind of looking at cost
18	and rates, but then as part of Navigant's
19	duties, they are going to be doing a more
20	formal rate?
21	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes. And Van Nolls and
22	them have partnered with us, so that they
23	can see the full, robust picture and
24	understand, because in the past our rate
25	methodology came from folks who are long

gone. So really the rate methodology that we have is not found anywhere. It's not written. And so we have requested that Navigant work to do a rate study. As providers you-all should have received a provider letter, or it went out last week sometime, to say if we choose to move forward with the rate study, here are the things that Navigant will be asking you for.

For some of our bigger companies that's not an issue to pull those numbers. They have good systems to be able to pull that. But we also wanted to give mom and pop organizations that are more paper-based the opportunity to know here's what you look at in a rate study. When we were out in focus groups, that was something that some of the smaller entities asked us, can you please let us know well ahead what kinds of things you may be looking for, and so we went ahead and released that in the anticipation of that's a recommendation we may choose to move forward with, and so saying here's what you should start

2.

1 gathering.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within that, Van Nolls, his team, will be working with us to -- their request, quite honestly, was to know what the process was. My hope is that once we do a rate study, or if we accept that recommendation, is to have that methodology that's transparent to everyone, so that families know how we determine rates, so that providers know how we determine rates, so that advocates know how we determine rates. That's something that -- so CMS knows how we determine rates. That way there's the ability to have conversations and actually review based on data as opposed to just kind of throwing it in the air and saying what do we want to stay. So that's the current process, is, yes, Van Nolls, who is doing that LRC report, will be very involved and kind of watching the process, so that they can report back that -- whether or not it was done appropriately in those ... MR. CALLEBS: You said a letter went out last week to providers? MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes, we sent out a

TODD & ASSOCIATES REPORTING, INC. 53 859.223.2322 office@toddreporting.com

1	provider letter that just said Navigant's
2	recommending that we do a rate study if CMS
3	chooses to go forward. Here's the types of
4	things that they will request.
5	MR. CALLEBS: And that will be did that
6	go out to all waiver providers?
7	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
8	MR. CALLEBS: Not just SCL and Michelle P.,
9	but all
10	MS. LORI GRESHAM: All waiver providers.
11	We're doing a study for all waivers.
12	MR. CALLEBS: For all waivers. Okay, thank
13	you.
14	MS. COLLINS: For Navigant in terms of
15	their involvement in the rate study, is
16	that part of their contract or would that
17	be like a new contract?
18	MR. GRESHAM: It will be part of their
19	contract as of July 1.
20	MR. CHRISTMAN: Do we have a quorum here?
21	MR. HARVEY: I think we needed Chris to
22	have a quorum.
23	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay, so we don't.
24	We had a couple of we had a quorum
25	at last meeting and we did pass a motion,

but we didn't get to the MAC, so I guess we can't repass them. But I do want to maybe open up a couple of them just to discuss them again.

One, you recall last time we had a really -- I thought really good discussion about residential services and how we have some folks in the waiver right now who are difficult to serve with the waiver as it exists. We believe that there's folks in the ICFMRs who probably could benefit from community living. And I think a recommendation was that we modify ICFMRs and also SCL to kind of, you know, change, address that situation. But what's really interesting, and I was kind of surprised to see this in the budget, the General Assembly includes delivery of services for intermediate care facilities for individuals with intellectual disabilities, and among the things they recommend is the transition of qualified individuals from ICFMRs to the SCL program.

There's been discussions in the Department, the Cabinet, about how that

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

	IDD TAC Meeting May 7, 2010
1	would be implemented?
2	MR. GRESHAM: No, not yet.
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: Anybody want to make any
4	comment on that, good, bad or otherwise?
5	MR. CALLEBS: You talking about the
6	recommendation, the
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: For the ICFMR that's in the
8	budget.
9	MR. CALLEBS: Yeah.
10	MR. CHRISTMAN: Moving folks out,
11	transitioning folks out.
12	MR. CALLEBS: If you're talking about as it
13	relates to the recommendations that were
14	passed
15	MR. CHRISTMAN: Passed by the General
16	Assembly.
17	MR. CALLEBS: By this group, I'm talking
18	about.
19	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. Which we can't
20	yeah, that, and also what's contained in
21	the budget passed by the General
22	Assembly
23	MR. CALLEBS: Right.
24	MR. CHRISTMAN: which seems to be
25	related to what we passed last time.

1	MR. CALLEBS: Sure. Yeah.
2	I would think that I mean, the
3	recommendations that were passed, I would
4	think still stand. They just didn't get
5	presented to the MAC
6	MS. BROTHERS: Just have to take them
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay. So we can deal with
8	that again.
9	MR. CALLEBS: They were voted on and
10	approved.
11	MR. CHRISTMAN: All right. I guess that's
12	true. So we don't really have to vote on
13	them again.
14	MR. CALLEBS: I don't think so. Just, I
15	guess, as you introduced for the record now
16	they still stand, they just are yet to be
17	presented to the MAC.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
19	MR. CALLEBS: So we just need to make sure
20	that
21	MS. BROTHERS: 524.
22	MR. CHRISTMAN: In the MAC meaning 524?
23	Okay. We'll make sure somebody gets
24	yeah, you're right. You're exactly right,
25	Johnny.

1	Well, but I think what's in the budget
2	here is very interesting. That's quite a
3	mandate to do.
4	MS. BROTHERS: We also had
5	MR. CHRISTMAN: And really how you define
6	qualified, I think, is going to be an
7	issue; right? Do you think you'll ask,
8	like, stakeholder input on something like
9	this as to how that might be addressed?
10	MR. GRESHAM: I don't have any idea right
11	now.
12	MR. CHRISTMAN: No, okay.
13	MR. GRESHAM: We didn't come planning or
14	we didn't plan on talking about this.
15	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. But do you think
16	maybe at our next meeting if we have it on
17	the agenda that would be something
18	MR. GRESHAM: Yeah.
19	MR. CHRISTMAN: we can have a discussion
20	about?
21	MR. GRESHAM: Absolutely.
22	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah, I think that's really
23	important.
24	All right. Were there any other
25	issues you want to discuss?

1	MS. BROTHERS: I want to discuss about the
2	final rule meeting.
3	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
4	MS. BROTHERS: I had a concern about that.
5	As far as notification to our advocates, I
6	feel like they need more time getting those
7	notifications in order for them to show up
8	and get someone to bring them to those
9	meetings. That's my opinion.
10	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Okay.
11	MS. BROTHERS: I feel like that there
12	wasn't enough family members or advocates;
13	that I feel like they weren't represented
14	as well as they could have been.
15	MS. LORI GRESHAM: So one of the things
16	that was sent out a month before the
17	meeting, and I think that meeting, when it
18	was sent out, a lot of folks didn't
19	understand, because it wasn't about
20	learning about final rule. It was to
21	review the heightened scrutiny packets.
22	And so we heard I had a lot of families
23	e-mail me and ask what is this meeting
24	about, and I would say it's to review the
25	heightened scrutiny packets, and they said,

oh, nevermind. We also heard from some providers who showed up in the room who said, well, we told people not to come. And so that was an issue.

When we got there, we ended up having two separate groups, and on each one there were two provider liaisons and two participant liaisons. And they -- they went really well and had a lot of really good discussion. I didn't sit in through the whole time, but I kept checking back. everyone who attended said that the discussion was really well. And we have to ensure, because that's what we've told CMS, that they will be equally represented. And so we did have to ask some providers to step out of those meetings because we didn't have enough participants, and then those very same providers specifically said, well, we told participants not to come, they didn't need to be here.

And so one of the things that I've talked to our team who announces those is, one, to better explain what the meeting is; two, to encourage the providers -- and

24

25

1

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

initially that was -- we sent that out to the folks who had already attended, because there's guite a bit of training that goes into how to read those packets and all that. We have some folks that have come to all of them, and so they know exactly what to read and how to understand what they're looking at.

And so I think, one, we absolutely need to do a better job of educating folks about what those meetings are for, because we will continue to have those review sessions so that we can get those packets reviewed. We have a lot of them and we don't want that information to become stagnant. And so reviewing those, talking to the folks who are in heightened scrutiny to get new transition plans if they need it, and ultimately hopefully submitting those to Before we submit them to CMS, they also have to go through that public comment period as well.

And so I think there was confusion as to what it was, first of all, and then we did have folks that specifically said they

1	don't need you. And I can't police that. I
2	mean, I wouldn't have known unless they told
3	me at the meeting. And so we will do a
4	better job of educating folks about what it
5	is and getting folks there.
6	MS. BROTHERS: Can I ask you another
7	just like I had some people I know from the
8	Arc who wanted to come, who self-advocate,
9	some people who would have been really
10	good, serve on that really well. And I
11	know they turned it in, like, a day later.
12	Could they have not been put on, like, a
13	backup list or something?
14	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Because we just we
15	have to, before the meeting, work to make
16	these groups so that they are equitable.
17	So that's why we have a hard cutoff, is so
18	that we can do the behind-the-scenes work,
19	because at that point we had providers that
20	signed up that had packets that were in
21	there. So we had to ensure that they
22	didn't sit on a group that reviewed their
23	packet. So there is a lot of planning that
24	goes into that to determine groups, and so
25	that's why we have to have a hard cutoff

1	because there is a lot of planning that
2	goes into those meetings. And then even
3	with that, because folks don't show up
4	we had 40-some people signed up. Didn't
5	have anywhere close to that. And so then
6	we had to stop in the middle of the meeting
7	and say we got to regroup. And so to have
8	folks filter in that aren't signed up would
9	make it that much harder, and so that's why
10	we have to have a hard stop.
11	MS. BROTHERS: Well, here's my concern, I
12	guess. I got an e-mail, like, on that
13	Monday saying there's not enough
14	self-advocates, not enough advocates
15	involved. So I send that out to my people,
16	and then they respond back, but it takes
17	them a day or so to get the notification,
18	and then they get back to me and you
19	know, they have to plan around their life.
20	I mean, it's a little harder for them to
21	say I can come today
22	MS. LORI GRESHAM: I understand.
23	MS. BROTHERS: or tomorrow, and then
24	they plan and they try to make it they
25	want to come to these meetings, they want

to be involved, and then you tell them no, so it's hard that's really hard for me. MS. LORI GRESHAM: I understand. MS. BROTHERS: They want to be active and they want to be involved and they want to make a difference in this kind of situation. And they would be the people who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that. MR. CHRISTMAN: Do you know if they're	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: I understand. MS. BROTHERS: They want to be active and they want to be involved and they want to make a difference in this kind of situation. And they would be the people who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
MS. BROTHERS: They want to be active and they want to be involved and they want to make a difference in this kind of situation. And they would be the people who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
they want to be involved and they want to make a difference in this kind of situation. And they would be the people who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
make a difference in this kind of situation. And they would be the people who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
situation. And they would be the people who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
who would make a difference and be involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
involved. So I guess I want to find how can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
can we make that better. MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: Uh-huh (affirmative). MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
MR. CHRISTMAN: This process of the stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
stakeholder review of these, was that recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
recommended by CMS or did we come up with that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
that? MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: We came up with that.	
MR. CHRISTMAN: Do you know if they're	
18 recommending that to other states?	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: I have presented at the	
federal level about our process.	
MR. CHRISTMAN: So do you think that's	
going to be like the common other state	3
may adopt the same thing?	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: I have talked to five	
different states about our process, so the	

may. There's not a required process by CMS. It's up to each state. Each state has their own process, but they like Kentucky's. MR. CHRISTMAN: But obviously this is one they're happy with? MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh, yes. MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we probably won't be seeing the federal folk from CMS in Washington coming to look at MS. LORI GRESHAM: When they first starte) (S
has their own process, but they like Kentucky's. MR. CHRISTMAN: But obviously this is one they're happy with? MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh, yes. MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we probably won't be seeing the federal folk from CMS in Washington coming to look at) (S
Kentucky's. MR. CHRISTMAN: But obviously this is one they're happy with? MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh, yes. MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we probably won't be seeing the federal folk from CMS in Washington coming to look at) (S
5 MR. CHRISTMAN: But obviously this is one 6 they're happy with? 7 MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh, yes. 8 MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we 9 probably won't be seeing the federal folk 10 from CMS in Washington coming to look at) (S
they're happy with? MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh, yes. MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we probably won't be seeing the federal folk from CMS in Washington coming to look at) (S
7 MS. LORI GRESHAM: Oh, yes. 8 MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we probably won't be seeing the federal folk 10 from CMS in Washington coming to look at	
8 MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And I guess so we probably won't be seeing the federal folk from CMS in Washington coming to look at	
probably won't be seeing the federal folk from CMS in Washington coming to look at	
from CMS in Washington coming to look at	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: When they first starte	٧,٧
	:u
they said they were going to do a site	
visit for every site that was put in	
heightened scrutiny. They have now said,	
no, no, we won't be doing that. We will	be
looking at a sampling of the packets you	
17 present.	
18 MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.	
MS. LORI GRESHAM: And so I don't and,	I
mean, it doesn't seem like they are now	
going to do site visits. California	
submitted 2,000 settings and I think they	7
saw that and they were, like, maybe we we	ere
a little we jumped the gun a little bi	.t
25 there. But, again, we've sent in one	

group, almost a year and a half ago now,
and have heard nothing. There are several
other states that have submitted some, but
not many. I think there may be three or
four states. One state, at the very
beginning of this process, submitted I
think two settings and they got response
back.
MR. CHRISTMAN: Two?
MS. LORI GRESHAM: And they weren't they
weren't anything close to what ours were.
I mean, it was apples to oranges to try and
compare the feedback that CMS gave them.
MR. CHRISTMAN: Two settings in the entire
state?
MS. LORI GRESHAM: That was just two that
they submitted.
MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, that they submitted.
Okay, yeah.
MS. LORI GRESHAM: That's not how many they
have. No, there's no state that I think
has two settings.
MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.
MS. LORI GRESHAM: And so really we
we're kind of discussing do we want to wait

for CMS. My fear is if we sit and wait too long, then that information is going to be old and it's not a fair representation of that provider. And so we're kind of trying to decide what's our next move.

I talked to our TA Lead and tried to say, can you please give me some guidance so that we're not sitting stagnate, and get just crickets, that information will come soon.

And we have discussed -- as you-all know, they gave an extension and what that extension was, was the -- the only comments that have really been made about it were for approval from CMS for heightened scrutiny settings. It had nothing to do with the state. It had nothing -- it was very vague, in the same way as EVV was very vague. And so Kentucky will put -- because our timeline has been approved by CMS. We'll put those into regulations in 2019. We will work with providers to come into compliance, and our goal is to work 'til transition for 2022.

At that time then we will use CMS's

2.

1	guidance to say what do we do now. And our
2	hope again, this is what we anticipate,
3	and this is not giving us guidance. Our
4	hope for that is that even the ones that we
5	submit that they say, no, they don't meet,
6	we will have until that 2022 date
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: Gotcha.
8	MS. LORI GRESHAM: to get folks into
9	compliance and can resubmit. We can't add
10	any new providers to heightened scrutiny.
11	And as a matter of fact, CMS, when final
12	rule started, sent a letter almost a year
13	later saying, oh, we never intended new
14	settings to open, so if you have a new
15	setting it must be in full compliance.
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: That's right.
17	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And so we're working
18	towards that and understanding what that
19	looks like. So that's kind of where we
20	are, waiting on CMS, working through those
21	packets so that that information is good,
22	strong information. The ones that the
23	stakeholders say we need something, some
24	other discussions here, going back to those
25	providers and saying, you know, we met with

1	a group of stakeholders of your peers, of
2	folks who use services, here's where
3	they're you know, here's where you're
4	deficient; you're going to have to beef up
5	your processes.
6	MR. CHRISTMAN: So when a new setting
7	opens, what do you do?
8	MS. LORI GRESHAM: We do certification
9	reviews just like we do now.
10	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay. All right. Gotcha.
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Final rule pieces. It's
12	just another part of their certification.
13	So for like our folks that are round two,
14	or in prong two that are they're not in
15	heightened scrutiny, but they were noted
16	that they had areas where they were
17	deficient or needed to change their
18	practices, we're working through
19	certification through TA, as certification
20	has come up, to look and say, hey, here's
21	where we're seeing within final rule that
22	you can do better.
23	MR. CHRISTMAN: But if a new setting opens
24	up and it is in heightened scrutiny, then
25	you can't really they can't bill; right?

1	I mean, they can't
2	MS. LORI GRESHAM: They wouldn't accept
3	them as a provider.
4	MR. CHRISTMAN: They can't participate?
5	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Correct.
6	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
7	MS. LORI GRESHAM: CMS says if you are not
8	fully compliant from day one, from some
9	July 16, I think is Monday you cannot be
10	paid as an HCBS provider if you are not
11	complying with federal final rule.
12	MR. CHRISTMAN: But you do have to open up
13	before you're assessed; is that correct? I
14	mean, does the site to have to, like, be in
15	business or
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Each waiver which is
17	one of the things. Each waiver has a
18	different process of certification. ABI,
19	they go and see them beforehand, look at
20	their policies and procedures, and do a
21	so-many day, 45 day or something like that.
22	SCL looks at a provider, but not
23	necessarily each thing, but looks at a
24	provider as they open up and does continue.
25	HCB, most of theirs are OIG, so we're

1	working with CareWise to do those
2	monitorings, or ADHC to do those
3	monitorings and ensure that we're getting
4	those folks monitored and taught well.
5	MR. CHRISTMAN: And so you may have already
6	answered this, but how many settings in
7	Kentucky were in heightened scrutiny when
8	you started?
9	MS. LORI GRESHAM: 240ish settings.
10	MR. CHRISTMAN: And how many have you gone
11	through with this process?
12	MS. LORI GRESHAM: About 100. Because we
13	have already submitted 46 or 44, and then
14	we have just done another round. We
15	actually have reviewed these folks twice.
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: And of this 100, some of
17	them are considered not community-based;
18	right, that they
19	MS. LORI GRESHAM: No. We have not come
20	across any that we put in bucket three,
21	saying sorry, you're not
22	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay.
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: All of them we are
24	working to come into compliance. We won't
25	make that determination until closer to

1	2022, and work at that point to say, okay,
2	it looks like you're not getting this,
3	there's no way you're going to get it. But
4	most of our settings, all of our settings
5	are attempting to come into compliance and
6	we'll work with them.
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: Well, I remember Lynn Flynn
8	saying that the hope was that all settings
9	would be in compliance and there would not
10	be any excluded.
11	MS. LORI GRESHAM: That's our hope.
12	MR. CHRISTMAN: And that's still our hope,
13	that we will not exclude any sites that are
14	already existing?
15	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Right.
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right. And it looks like
17	that's where we're headed.
18	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Yes. And all of our
19	providers have done that were in
20	heightened scrutiny, they have all turned
21	in their transition plans. So they are at
22	least working towards that, and we are
23	we're committed to working with them to
24	say, okay, here's you know, here's some
25	good practices that we have seen throughout

1	the state for this piece, working with them
2	to help them understand more holistically
3	the intent of final rule. Because, quite
4	honestly, the folks that, when we looked at
5	their transition plans, that weren't
6	significant transition plans, it wasn't
7	it was that they just didn't understand the
8	ideology. And in speaking with them, then
9	they're, like, oh, now I get it. And
10	helping them understand. Of course, we
11	can't make any business model decisions for
12	them or encourage them in any way, but just
13	helping them understand the intent of final
14	rule.
15	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right.
16	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And it really seems that
17	most of our providers are jumping on that.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: And we haven't found any
19	location that's impossible to bring into
20	compliance?
21	MS. LORI GRESHAM: No.
22	MR. CHRISTMAN: It just depends on what
23	happens in that site?
24	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Right, uh-huh
25	(affirmative).

1	MR. CALLEBS: One more question tied to
2	that.
3	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.
4	MR. CALLEBS: So if an existing provider
5	who had some service sites under heightened
6	scrutiny is going to open another staffed
7	residence, for example, but so does
8	that
9	MS. LORI GRESHAM: That setting has to be
10	physically compliant. So as long as it's,
11	like, not next door and they have all their
12	policies and procedures in place, that's
13	fine. Them being in heightened scrutiny
14	does not preclude them from opening a new
15	setting. CMS looks at each specific
16	setting, not agencies as a whole. Does
17	that make sense?
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: Well, can you ask for a
19	certification review early of that
20	particular setting, or do you have to wait
21	until you roll around for your annual do
22	you know what I'm asking?
23	MS. LORI GRESHAM: That would be up to the
24	folks that that do the certification. I
25	don't

1	MR. HARVEY: Rick, I think that's already
2	in place. The assigned QA of agencies
3	usually come out and
4	MR. CHRISTMAN: They can do an impromptu
5	one.
6	MR. HARVEY: If you're wanting to open a
7	new day training center or a new staffed
8	residence, usually it's discussed with the
9	assigned QA and they'll come out and check
10	it and make sure that it's up to up to
11	the standards.
12	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay.
13	MS. BROTHERS: I just want to say one more
14	thing about the self-advocates. When you
15	do make the meeting notice and then you
16	send out the notifications for who's been
17	selected, I know that just make sure you
18	have, like, at least a week notice for them
19	as far as travel, getting a person to go
20	with them, their worker, and all of that,
21	because they do need that much time.
22	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Okay.
23	MS. BROTHERS: And something else to
24	consider with this is I know we talk a
25	lot about providers and different things,

1	
1	but, you know, they have persons with
2	disabilities, I think we should always
3	consider, you know, with this final rule
4	all of their rights, because I know that
5	is I mean, I know that's what this is
6	about, but they need to be at this table
7	and we need to consider how much time they
8	need to get at this table.
9	MS. LORI GRESHAM: Absolutely, uh-huh
10	(affirmative).
11	MR. CHRISTMAN: Okay. So is it time to
12	schedule our next meeting?
13	MS. CLARK: Next meeting is already
14	scheduled.
15	MR. CHRISTMAN: Oh, it is? Okay, when is
16	it? We scheduled them all, didn't we?
17	MS. CLARK: Yeah, they were all scheduled.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah.
19	MR. CALLEBS: In July; right?
20	MR. GRESHAM: July 11.
21	MR. HARVEY: July 11 at 10:00.
22	MR. CHRISTMAN: July 11 at 10:00.
23	MR. GRESHAM: So far it's in this room.
24	MR. CHRISTMAN: All right.
25	MS. CLARK: Sorry that we got kicked out of

1	the other room.
2	MS. LORI GRESHAM: And weren't told until
3	we were standing down there going, um
4	MS. CLARK: We actually had the room set up
5	and everything, and she came back and she
6	was, like, everything's been moved.
7	MR. CHRISTMAN: That's terrible.
8	And is the MAC meeting the 24th?
9	MS. BROTHERS: 24th at 10:00. And we have
10	two motions to present at the MAC meeting.
11	MR. CHRISTMAN: Right, that we passed last
12	time.
13	MS. BROTHERS: Right.
14	MR. CALLEBS: May I ask one more question
15	about the redesign
16	MR. CHRISTMAN: Yeah, go ahead.
17	MR. CALLEBS: process just before we
18	leave?
19	One thing I noticed missing, and I
20	intend to make comment on, is and maybe
21	it's in here incorporated in some way, I'm
22	just not seeing it. But I know in this
23	group we've talked a lot about the issue of
24	eligibility and people being kicked off
25	eligibility, being randomly assigned into an

1 MCO and, you know, just creating all kinds 2. of havoc there. So is that part of, like, a 3 quality piece, or how are we looking to see 4 that all of these IT systems are going to be 5 improved upon so that we don't have folks falling out of payment status and 6 7 eligibility status, and even getting on in 8 the first place through Benefind? And all 9 of that is a real big issue for recipients, 10 families, providers, everybody, 11 transportation. 12 MS. LORI GRESHAM: So for eligibility 13 that's not waiver exclusive, even getting 14 moved and -- that's not waiver exclusive. 15 That's Medicaid exclusive, not -- and so 16 that's not Navigant's charge, to look at 17 all of Medicaid. It's to look at waivers 18 specifically. But we did hear that across 19 the Commonwealth at all of those, so we 20 have -- we've given that information to 21 DCBS and to the folks that run that 22 contract. And we work daily with MWMA to 23 improve. MWMA is speaking with Benefind 24 and, you know, are working with that side 25 of the house. It won't be part of this

1	project, because that's just not it's
2	outside of Navigant's scope. But we are
3	working with the other side of the house to
4	say, hey, what's going on here. We've also
5	given them the information that we heard
6	across the town halls, even about workers
7	being rude and things like that. So
8	they've got that information on DCBS's
9	side, but it won't be part of this. We
10	will be working with it, but not
11	specifically within Navigant.
12	MR. CHRISTMAN: Other than that, I guess
13	we're ready to adjourn. A consensus?
14	MR. HARVEY: I'll make a motion to adjourn.
15	MR. CHRISTMAN: All right. Is there a
16	second?
17	MS. BROTHERS: Second.
18	MR. CHRISTMAN: All in favor?
19	(Unanimous vote.)
20	* * * * * *
21	THEREUPON, the Meeting was concluded at
22	11:20 a.m.
23	* * * * *
24	
25	

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)			
2	COUNTY OF FAYETTE)			
3				
4	I, JOLINDA S. TODD, Registered			
5	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for			
6	the State of Kentucky at Large, certify that the			
7	facts stated in the caption hereto are true; that			
8	at the time and place stated in said caption the			
9	witness named in the caption hereto personally			
10	appeared before me, and that, after being by me			
11	duly sworn, was examined by counsel for the			
12	parties; that said testimony was taken in stenotype			
13	by me and later reduced to computer-aided			
14	transcription and the foregoing is a true record of			
15	the testimony given by said witness.			
16	No party to said action nor counsel for			
17	said parties requested in writing that said			
18	deposition be signed by the testifying witness.			
19	My commission expires: August 24, 2019.			
20	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set			
21	my hand and seal of office on this the 25th day of			
22	July 2018.			
23				
24	JOLINDA S. TODD, RPR, CCR(KY) NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE AT LARGE			
25	ID# 449787			

address [7] 8/14 21/23 23/11 23/13 23/16

2022 [3] 67/24 68/6 72/1 24/3 55/15 MR. CALLEBS: [57] 9/15 9/22 10/5 10/8 **21 [2]** 43/12 43/19 addressed [3] 3/9 19/1 58/9 10/14 11/20 12/1 13/2 13/13 13/18 20/20 **24** [1] 80/19 **adequately** [1] 31/19 22/9 30/10 30/15 30/20 34/2 34/7 38/5 ADHC [1] 71/2 240ish [1] 71/9 38/16 38/21 39/20 39/23 40/7 40/13 41/15 adjourn [2] 79/13 79/14 **24th** [2] 77/8 77/9 41/19 42/1 42/20 43/12 43/14 43/19 43/24 **250 [5]** 44/5 44/6 44/7 44/8 45/8 adopt [2] 30/17 64/23 45/12 48/11 49/1 50/18 50/22 51/4 51/15 25th [1] 80/21 **ADT** [2] 37/11 37/12 53/22 54/4 54/7 54/11 56/4 56/8 56/11 **275** [1] 1/14 ADVISORY [1] 1/7 56/16 56/22 56/25 57/8 57/13 57/18 73/25 advocacy [1] 24/6 74/3 76/18 77/13 77/16 advocate [2] 23/2 62/8 MR. CHRISTMAN: [142] **3,200 [2]** 45/20 45/22 advocates [7] 23/9 53/11 59/5 59/12 63/14 MR. GRESHAM: [36] 3/11 3/14 7/18 **3,500 [3]** 44/12 45/16 46/3 63/14 75/14 10/11 12/20 12/25 40/22 41/11 41/17 **300 [3]** 44/17 45/17 46/3 **affirmative [16]** 11/15 13/18 14/10 17/20 41/20 42/9 42/13 42/23 43/3 43/6 43/22 20/12 26/13 29/8 30/20 43/7 47/2 50/24 44/3 44/6 44/14 44/16 45/17 45/21 45/23 54/7 59/3 64/11 73/25 76/10 46/12 46/20 46/24 47/2 49/20 54/17 56/1 **40-hour [2]** 12/7 20/11 afraid [1] 25/21 58/9 58/12 58/17 58/20 76/19 76/22 **40-some** [1] 63/4 **after [11]** 9/21 9/23 10/1 10/11 26/15 MR. HARVEY: [5] 54/20 74/25 75/5 40621 [1] 1/15 26/22 26/23 27/15 44/10 49/20 80/10 76/20 79/13 **44** [1] 71/13 again [17] 7/24 11/8 11/25 15/5 19/21 MR. SHANNON: [12] 17/25 18/17 18/21 **449787** [1] 80/24 25/1 28/2 32/18 33/17 37/23 45/8 47/1 43/25 45/15 45/18 45/22 48/4 48/8 48/14 **45 [1]** 70/21 55/4 57/8 57/13 65/25 68/2 49/6 49/13 **46** [1] 71/13 against [1] 6/4 MS. BENTLEY: [2] 29/25 30/8 **49** [1] 48/8 **age [3]** 43/11 43/18 50/10 MS. BLACKWELL: [14] 47/12 47/22 agencies [3] 15/17 74/16 75/2 48/3 48/6 48/9 48/13 48/16 48/22 49/4 5 agency [2] 6/3 22/23 49/9 50/3 50/6 50/21 50/23 **50** [1] 32/8 agenda [3] 33/24 50/25 58/17 MS. BROTHERS: [30] 5/3 14/1 14/7 **500 [1]** 44/19 ago [3] 29/19 49/14 66/1 14/10 24/13 25/20 25/25 26/3 46/21 47/1 **524 [2]** 57/21 57/22 ahead [5] 14/18 17/25 52/20 52/22 77/16 48/17 49/23 50/5 50/8 50/15 57/5 57/20 aided [1] 80/13 58/3 58/25 59/3 59/10 62/5 63/10 63/22 air [1] 53/16 64/3 75/12 75/22 77/8 77/12 79/16 **6,285 [3]** 43/11 43/13 43/14 Alice [1] 2/9 MS. CLARK: [11] 43/9 43/13 43/16 44/5 **68 percent [2]** 43/11 43/18 **Alisha [1]** 2/13 45/9 45/14 50/11 76/12 76/16 76/24 77/3 **all [80]** 3/15 4/6 4/11 5/19 6/10 7/2 9/2 MS. COLLINS: [12] 9/6 13/21 14/18 11/12 14/4 15/9 16/1 19/1 19/8 20/18 15/19 16/3 16/7 16/14 17/19 26/9 45/4 77 [1] 43/23 21/15 22/13 22/22 23/8 23/9 23/25 24/6 45/7 54/13 24/8 24/15 25/3 25/11 26/6 26/16 26/23 MS. LORI GRESHAM: [134] 27/20 28/3 28/10 31/12 32/9 32/17 32/21 MS. MAHER: [9] 18/4 18/15 19/22 22/10 8th [3] 45/12 45/12 45/13 33/2 33/6 33/11 34/12 35/22 36/2 37/1 22/25 23/14 23/24 25/17 25/24 37/8 39/1 39/12 40/6 40/17 44/9 50/2 52/5 MS. SMITH: [1] 46/9 54/6 54/9 54/10 54/11 54/12 57/11 58/24 a.m [1] 79/22 61/4 61/5 61/24 67/12 69/10 71/23 72/4 **ABI [1]** 70/18 72/8 72/18 72/20 74/11 75/20 76/4 76/16 'til [1] 67/24 ability [1] 53/14 76/17 76/24 78/1 78/4 78/8 78/17 78/19 able [7] 5/14 6/1 8/18 10/18 27/25 41/14 79/15 79/18 52/13 allocate [2] 47/6 47/24 **10 [3]** 40/16 40/22 46/19 about [60] 3/2 3/5 4/15 5/2 5/13 6/18 8/6 **allocated [7]** 18/8 44/8 44/12 45/9 45/17 **10,000** [1] 45/20 8/12 10/3 10/25 12/19 14/22 15/7 17/8 48/8 49/5 10,117 [1] 43/23 20/11 20/14 20/22 24/15 24/18 24/18 **allocating [1]** 47/16 10,177 [1] 43/24 24/20 25/2 30/2 30/16 32/19 34/4 34/14 **allocations [2]** 48/25 49/2 10,500 [1] 44/4 35/14 35/20 36/8 37/10 39/20 40/11 41/13 **allow [2]** 19/14 41/18 **100 [3]** 47/8 71/12 71/16 42/17 44/17 55/7 55/25 56/5 56/12 56/18 allowed [2] 20/25 21/10 **10:00 [3]** 76/21 76/22 77/9 58/14 58/20 59/1 59/4 59/19 59/20 59/24 allowing [1] 31/18 **11 [3]** 76/20 76/21 76/22 61/11 62/4 64/20 64/25 67/15 71/12 75/14 almost [5] 22/21 22/23 29/18 66/1 68/12 11:20 [1] 79/22 75/25 76/6 77/15 77/23 79/6 along [1] 9/2 **145** [1] 48/11 above [1] 24/5 already [9] 3/24 14/5 20/24 61/2 71/5 **15th** [1] 3/17 absolutely [4] 28/6 58/21 61/9 76/9 71/13 72/14 75/1 76/13 **16 [1]** 70/9 accept [8] 9/11 11/8 11/25 13/4 15/13 also [14] 4/21 17/14 18/25 27/22 34/25 **184 [3]** 47/25 50/22 50/23 16/13 53/6 70/2 40/10 44/18 52/14 55/14 56/20 58/4 60/1 **18th** [1] 45/11 access [5] 5/20 12/6 20/5 31/4 37/17 61/21 79/4 1st [2] 43/3 50/20 across [4] 32/21 71/20 78/18 79/6 always [2] 31/25 76/2 Act [1] 38/20 am [2] 7/9 34/7 action [2] 41/9 80/16 **amazing** [1] 46/11 **2,000** [1] 65/22 active [2] 43/21 64/4 ambulation [1] 31/7 **2,449** [1] 47/15 actually [8] 9/7 15/9 45/10 46/19 47/6 amendment [3] 41/2 41/17 42/4 **200 [5]** 44/5 44/8 45/10 45/13 46/23 53/14 71/15 77/4 among [3] 43/1 43/5 55/20 **2014 [3]** 44/11 44/15 44/16 add [1] 68/9 amount [9] 12/21 12/22 12/24 12/25 13/6

addition [1] 27/13

13/7 13/9 14/3 14/9

2018 [2] 1/19 80/22

2019 [2] 67/22 80/19

assigned [3] 75/2 75/9 77/25 **brain** [1] 8/16 assistance [1] 31/6 bring [4] 32/8 32/11 59/8 73/19 **Anchor** [1] 38/8 assume [1] 43/2 broaden [1] 39/5 **announced** [1] 21/3 assuming [1] 8/8 broadly [1] 38/11 announces [1] 60/23 attacked [1] 3/11 brokers [1] 32/21 annual [1] 74/21 attempting [1] 72/5 **Brothers** [1] 2/15 another [9] 8/5 21/20 25/1 26/21 50/25 attended [2] 60/12 61/2 **brought** [2] 5/6 25/20 62/6 69/12 71/14 74/6 attitude [1] 6/20 **bucket [1]** 71/20 answer [4] 20/1 21/16 22/7 22/8 **audience** [1] 21/4 **budget [22]** 11/10 11/14 12/14 12/23 answered [1] 71/6 **August [1]** 80/19 13/11 13/16 14/12 14/15 18/8 18/10 18/14 answering [1] 15/18 authority [2] 7/19 7/20 18/22 18/23 19/11 19/14 19/22 40/15 anticipate [2] 27/2 68/2 available [3] 34/20 44/2 50/20 40/20 55/17 56/8 56/21 58/1 anticipation [1] 52/23 away [1] 11/16 **budgeted [5]** 13/6 13/9 14/3 14/9 49/21 any [28] 3/3 20/20 24/18 24/20 27/23 **budgeting [3]** 10/24 18/6 19/2 33/23 35/9 36/7 36/10 37/5 38/24 40/5 В **budgets** [5] 11/6 11/19 11/25 13/12 19/4 40/20 41/20 44/1 48/24 49/2 49/12 56/3 back [15] 21/5 23/23 27/8 32/3 33/7 38/2 **BUILDING** [1] 1/13 58/10 58/24 68/10 71/20 72/10 72/13 44/9 49/4 53/21 60/11 63/16 63/18 66/8 business [2] 70/15 73/11 73/11 73/12 73/18 68/24 77/5 business or [1] 70/15 anybody [10] 14/16 15/23 17/24 23/9 backup [1] 62/13 23/10 23/11 35/25 41/7 49/3 56/3 bad [2] 47/8 56/4 anymore [1] 19/20 **CABINET [8]** 1/2 3/21 4/10 4/11 9/10 Barb [1] 2/10 anyone [1] 10/9 base [1] 15/2 33/2 33/3 55/25 anyone's [1] 35/25 based [19] 4/2 4/8 7/5 10/23 11/6 12/11 Cabinet's [1] 4/22 anything [3] 24/20 29/21 66/11 12/13 12/14 13/12 14/6 17/1 19/15 21/8 calendar [1] 48/12 anywhere [2] 52/2 63/5 California [1] 65/21 26/18 27/9 49/8 52/15 53/15 71/17 appeal [3] 45/2 46/4 46/6 be [131] call [1] 24/15 **appeared** [1] 80/10 be like [1] 30/5 Callebs [1] 2/5 **Appendix [2]** 29/4 42/25 because [53] 4/16 5/23 6/20 6/24 9/1 9/8 called [1] 24/16 **apples [1]** 66/12 16/16 16/22 19/4 19/19 19/21 19/25 21/14 came [3] 51/25 64/16 77/5 **application [2]** 10/14 42/23 22/7 22/19 22/22 23/3 23/19 24/7 28/4 Camille [1] 2/19 applications [1] 9/21 can [45] 4/18 5/1 6/7 6/11 6/18 10/9 12/6 29/2 31/2 32/4 32/7 33/20 35/16 36/7 applies [1] 39/22 12/16 13/25 19/1 20/5 21/5 23/18 24/14 36/12 36/18 37/4 38/24 39/2 42/21 47/5 apply [1] 10/9 47/7 47/21 49/12 51/24 59/19 60/14 60/17 25/8 26/2 31/11 31/24 33/9 33/11 33/18 approach [1] 30/25 61/2 61/11 62/14 62/19 63/1 63/3 67/20 33/18 34/23 35/24 36/2 40/5 48/23 49/23 appropriate [1] 45/4 71/12 73/3 75/21 76/4 79/1 50/12 51/14 51/23 52/19 53/21 57/7 58/19 appropriately [2] 51/15 53/22 61/13 62/6 62/18 63/21 64/10 67/7 68/9 become [1] 61/15 **approval [2]** 49/15 67/16 **becoming** [1] 34/8 69/22 74/18 75/4 approve [1] 28/10 beef [1] 69/4 can't [17] 8/9 23/14 29/3 29/4 29/22 32/8 approved [3] 42/16 57/10 67/21 been [19] 20/22 20/24 26/19 29/19 31/22 33/13 47/25 55/2 56/19 62/1 68/9 69/25 Approximately [1] 45/18 32/13 33/6 34/15 35/13 38/8 45/16 55/24 69/25 70/1 70/4 73/11 arbitrary [2] 19/16 19/21 59/14 62/9 62/12 67/15 67/21 75/16 77/6 cannot [1] 70/9 Arc [2] 2/15 62/8 **before** [10] 29/6 41/25 42/8 46/25 59/16 caption [3] 80/7 80/8 80/9 are [97] 61/20 62/15 70/13 77/17 80/10 card [1] 21/22 areas [1] 69/16 **beforehand** [1] 70/19 cards [1] 21/13 aren't [2] 20/25 63/8 begin [1] 29/23 care [9] 13/16 14/2 17/18 37/14 37/20 arms [2] 35/24 35/25 beginning [3] 21/13 34/13 66/6 37/21 37/22 38/13 55/19 around [3] 5/15 63/19 74/21 **behind** [1] 62/18 **CARES** [1] 38/20 as [62] 5/5 5/5 5/11 5/11 5/12 5/12 5/17 behind-the-scenes [1] 62/18 CareWise [1] 71/1 5/17 6/17 6/24 7/23 11/5 11/11 11/19 19/1 being [11] 5/10 18/25 22/12 49/3 49/4 case [17] 3/6 5/3 5/6 5/25 6/15 6/25 17/9 20/4 22/11 22/12 22/15 23/1 23/2 26/8 49/5 74/13 77/24 77/25 79/7 80/10 24/7 24/17 24/24 25/2 25/13 25/19 27/2 26/9 28/16 31/19 31/19 35/2 38/18 41/1 believe [4] 43/23 45/11 46/14 55/10 27/7 32/19 32/20 42/10 42/10 49/5 49/25 50/10 51/1 51/10 **Benefind [2]** 78/8 78/23 caseworker [1] 6/2 51/18 52/4 53/15 54/19 55/9 56/12 57/15 benefit [1] 55/11 CCDD [2] 2/6 2/7 58/9 59/5 59/5 59/14 59/14 61/22 61/23 **Bentley** [1] 2/6 CCR [1] 80/23 67/12 67/19 68/11 69/19 70/3 70/10 70/24 best [1] 25/14 center [1] 75/7 74/10 74/10 74/16 75/19 75/19 better [11] 13/25 17/4 24/10 25/12 33/19 **certain [3]** 6/4 6/8 13/12 ask [12] 14/1 19/25 21/9 29/19 30/11 50/2 60/24 61/10 62/4 64/10 69/22 **certainty** [1] 36/3 40/18 58/7 59/23 60/16 62/6 74/18 77/14 between [1] 33/4 certification [7] 69/8 69/12 69/19 69/19 **asked [5]** 21/1 24/18 36/20 46/14 52/19 biannual [1] 40/15 70/18 74/19 74/24 asking [4] 8/21 10/25 52/9 74/22 **big [3]** 3/3 35/3 78/9 certifications [1] 16/1 **Assembly [4]** 51/1 55/17 56/16 56/22 bigger [1] 52/11 certify [1] 80/6 assess [2] 18/13 19/13 bill [1] 69/25 chance [1] 23/4 **assessed [6]** 10/1 11/10 12/12 14/7 19/10 **bit** [4] 3/3 8/7 61/3 65/24 change [6] 17/10 19/14 33/15 37/24 55/14 70/13 Blackwell [1] 2/9 69/17 assesses [1] 19/9 board [1] 40/4 changes [1] 30/22 assessment [11] 8/6 8/23 9/5 9/17 9/19 **bolts** [2] 9/15 15/15 **changing** [1] 18/7 9/21 10/11 14/15 31/8 44/20 45/25 **bothered** [1] 23/2 **charge** [1] 78/16 assessments [2] 31/4 44/23 **box [4]** 3/17 4/7 4/24 23/12 check [1] 75/9

checked [1] 10/13 **checking [1]** 60/11 **children** [1] 8/16 chip [1] 35/23 chips [1] 35/25 choose [5] 11/25 13/4 30/17 52/7 52/24 chooses [1] 54/3 Chris [1] 54/21 Christman [1] 2/4 clarification [1] 18/6 Clark [1] 2/13 classes [2] 6/4 6/5 clear [3] 15/22 16/4 16/20 clientele [1] 25/15 clock [1] 34/9 close [4] 44/12 49/8 63/5 66/11 closed [1] 35/7 closer [3] 9/14 17/16 71/25 CMHCs [1] 44/19 CMS [33] 11/5 19/18 28/20 34/10 35/12 36/6 36/12 36/18 36/19 37/23 38/10 39/1 39/20 41/1 41/17 42/15 49/15 53/12 54/2 60/14 61/20 61/20 64/14 65/2 65/10 66/13 67/1 67/16 67/21 68/11 68/20 70/7 74/15 CMS's [2] 15/10 67/25 **Collins** [1] 2/19 come [23] 14/12 30/19 36/10 44/9 44/23 45/6 48/19 58/13 60/3 60/20 61/5 62/8 63/21 63/25 64/14 67/9 67/23 69/20 71/19 71/24 72/5 75/3 75/9 comes [2] 21/9 22/13 coming [6] 27/15 29/3 29/21 29/22 33/7 65/10 **comment [23]** 4/19 4/24 16/21 20/22 20/24 21/2 21/6 21/7 21/11 21/12 22/19 23/15 24/1 24/14 26/21 26/24 27/12 27/24 31/21 42/12 56/4 61/21 77/20 **commented** [1] 31/16 comments [6] 3/16 3/16 6/3 31/15 33/23 67/14 **commission** [1] 80/19 Commissioner's [4] 40/24 41/10 41/14 42/7 **committed** [1] 72/23 common [1] 64/22 **COMMONWEALTH [2]** 1/1 78/19 communicate [1] 26/1 communication [1] 39/20 **community** [5] 5/20 5/20 37/17 55/12 community-based [1] 71/17 **companies** [1] 52/11 compare [1] 66/13 complaints [1] 5/24 **compliance** [8] 41/5 67/23 68/9 68/15 71/24 72/5 72/9 73/20 compliant [2] 70/8 74/10 complying [1] 70/11 **component** [1] 50/7 **computer** [1] 80/13 computer-aided [1] 80/13 concern [4] 22/11 49/17 59/4 63/11 **concerned** [1] 17/14 **concerning** [1] 24/25 **concerns** [1] 5/5 concluded [1] 79/21

concrete [1] 36/12 **condition** [1] 17/10 confidence [2] 10/17 10/21 conflicting [1] 38/7 **confusion** [1] 61/23 consensus [1] 79/13 consider [3] 75/24 76/3 76/7 **consideration [2]** 14/4 26/17 **considerations** [2] 43/2 43/6 **considered** [1] 71/17 consumer [1] 20/3 consumer-directed [1] 20/3 contained [1] 56/20 continually [2] 48/19 48/21 **continue** [7] 3/13 31/24 32/1 33/9 48/18 61/12 70/24 contract [4] 54/16 54/17 54/19 78/22 **conversation** [2] 3/5 34/14 conversations [3] 28/24 34/4 53/14 correct [5] 10/8 28/12 42/24 70/5 70/13 **correctly** [1] 7/10 cost [2] 19/18 51/17 **could** [6] 25/12 38/17 50/1 55/11 59/14 62/12 couldn't [1] 9/3 counsel [2] 80/11 80/16 **counted** [1] 45/20 **COUNTY [1]** 80/2 couple [3] 49/14 54/24 55/3 **course [3]** 19/17 33/13 73/10 creating [1] 78/1 crickets [1] 67/9 criteria [1] 47/17 **critical** [1] 5/9 current [5] 9/16 13/22 13/24 34/22 53/17 currently [11] 10/2 11/5 14/25 24/12 34/18 37/23 37/24 40/1 43/21 44/5 47/13 **cutoff [2]** 62/17 62/25 **DAIL [2]** 14/23 33/4

daily [1] 78/22 data [6] 31/3 31/4 31/7 31/10 31/11 53/15 **database** [1] 24/1 date [4] 1/18 27/18 39/15 68/6 **daughter [1]** 18/10 **David** [1] 2/17 day [8] 24/23 62/11 63/17 70/8 70/21 70/21 75/7 80/21 days [1] 17/6 **DBHDID** [2] 14/24 33/4 **DCBS** [1] 78/21 DCBS's [1] 79/8 **DD** [1] 10/13 **DDID** [7] 2/9 2/10 2/11 15/1 15/2 16/3 17/12 **DDID's [1]** 17/15 deal [1] 57/7 death [1] 47/21 **decide [4]** 3/21 16/13 42/7 67/5 decided [1] 8/14 decides [1] 9/10 decisions [5] 36/2 36/7 36/11 38/24 73/11 deemed [1] 44/25 deficient [2] 69/4 69/17 define [1] 58/5 **definition** [1] 38/14 delivery [1] 55/18

denial [1] 45/2 **Department** [2] 16/2 55/25 **depending** [1] 42/15 depends [3] 20/7 50/4 73/22 **deposition** [1] 80/18 design [1] 26/18 detail [1] 30/14 determination [1] 71/25 **determine** [10] 4/4 4/8 9/14 18/11 18/14 53/9 53/10 53/11 53/13 62/24 determined [2] 4/12 16/12 determining [1] 18/20 **DEVELOPMENT [1]** 1/6 **did [14]** 10/24 14/16 28/21 43/13 45/22 45/24 46/22 46/24 54/5 54/25 60/16 61/25 64/14 78/18 didn't [18] 15/3 22/19 23/7 24/20 24/24 49/12 55/1 57/4 58/13 58/14 59/18 60/10 60/17 60/20 62/22 63/4 73/7 76/16 difference [2] 64/6 64/8 different [9] 5/19 15/6 19/3 22/2 32/21 33/10 64/25 70/18 75/25 difficult [1] 55/9 diligently [1] 33/21 directed [1] 20/3 direction [1] 26/11 directors [2] 34/16 40/4 **disabilities [3]** 1/6 55/20 76/2 discuss [4] 40/23 55/3 58/25 59/1 discussed [2] 67/12 75/8 discussing [2] 36/19 66/25 discussion [5] 36/23 55/6 58/19 60/10 60/13 discussions [3] 8/12 55/24 68/24 dissipate [1] 26/11 **Division** [1] 18/18 **DMS** [9] 2/12 2/13 2/14 14/23 15/10 15/14 16/23 17/13 33/4 **do [78]** 3/7 3/10 5/2 5/4 6/11 8/10 8/20 9/21 9/24 11/2 11/5 12/9 14/14 21/14 21/15 24/5 24/10 25/25 26/7 27/18 27/24 27/25 31/8 31/11 31/12 31/17 35/3 35/3 35/9 35/10 36/3 36/16 38/25 40/24 41/1 41/4 41/8 43/20 43/22 44/25 47/6 47/11 49/23 52/4 53/5 53/16 54/2 54/20 55/2 58/3 58/7 58/15 61/10 62/3 62/18 64/17 64/21 65/12 65/21 66/25 67/17 68/1 68/1 69/7 69/7 69/8 69/9 69/22 70/12 70/20 71/1 71/2 74/20 74/21 74/24 75/4 75/15 does [13] 14/3 14/12 15/15 15/18 17/19 18/2 22/8 28/14 70/14 70/24 74/7 74/14 doesn't [5] 9/20 17/9 17/10 48/2 65/20 doing [13] 4/23 11/8 16/24 24/11 31/21 37/1 44/19 51/9 51/16 51/19 53/18 54/11 65/15 dollar [4] 12/21 12/22 12/24 12/25 **dollars [3]** 49/16 49/18 50/18 don't [46] 6/18 7/1 14/19 15/22 16/7 16/20 17/21 20/1 20/2 21/18 22/7 23/3 23/13 23/21 23/24 25/14 25/16 25/22 27/1 27/2 27/7 31/2 33/19 40/5 41/6 41/8 41/8 41/21 41/24 41/25 44/21 45/25 48/18 49/22 50/1 54/23 57/12 57/14 58/10 61/15 62/1 63/3 65/19 68/5 74/25 78/5

done [9] 11/6 16/1 19/11 28/22 33/10 46/6

53/22 71/14 72/19

D	everything's [1] 77/6	finding [1] 41/12
door [1] 74/11	everywhere [1] 25/8	fine [2] 18/2 74/13
down [5] 31/3 32/9 34/25 45/3 77/3	EVV [6] 34/14 35/10 35/20 37/14 37/16 67/19	finish [1] 4/17 finished [1] 32/10
draft [3] 32/3 32/9 32/12	exactly [4] 16/19 51/13 57/24 61/6	first [11] 3/12 3/20 4/5 31/1 45/6 45/6
drafting [1] 28/16	examined [1] 80/11	45/7 45/7 61/24 65/11 78/8
drew [2] 32/22 32/22	example [2] 6/8 74/7	fits [1] 11/12
drop [1] 41/4 duly [1] 80/11	except [2] 18/7 49/3	five [1] 64/24
during [2] 48/12 48/24	exception [2] 10/12 19/8	Flynn [1] 72/7
duties [1] 51/19	exclude [1] 72/13	focus [5] 21/25 23/10 32/17 32/23 52/18
DXC [1] 2/20	excluded [1] 72/10 exclusive [3] 78/13 78/14 78/15	folks [36] 4/7 19/17 19/19 19/20 31/15 32/15 33/17 34/19 35/16 35/22 38/8 51/25
E	exist [1] 8/20	55/8 55/10 56/10 56/11 59/18 61/2 61/5
	existing [2] 72/14 74/4	61/10 61/17 61/25 62/4 62/5 63/3 63/8
e-box [1] 23/12 e-mail [11] 4/7 4/24 22/14 23/11 23/13	exists [1] 55/10	65/9 68/8 69/2 69/13 71/4 71/15 73/4
23/15 23/20 24/2 24/2 59/23 63/12	expand [1] 39/1	74/24 78/5 78/21
e-mails [1] 23/22	expansion [1] 44/2	folks' [1] 35/23
E-Reg [1] 41/5	expected [1] 30/22	follow [2] 4/22 16/18
each [15] 11/13 12/16 13/5 13/15 30/16	experience [1] 49/8 experienced [1] 41/25	foolish [1] 39/3 foregoing [1] 80/14
31/8 42/21 47/20 60/6 65/2 65/2 70/16	expires [1] 80/19	form [1] 50/13
70/17 70/23 74/15	explain [1] 60/24	formal [1] 51/20
Earl [1] 2/14 early [1] 74/19	extend [2] 39/6 39/13	forth [1] 21/5
EAST [1] 1/14	extended [1] 39/11	forward [8] 3/22 4/5 15/25 30/18 39/4
Eastern [2] 21/19 22/3	extension [5] 36/21 36/25 39/8 67/13	52/8 52/24 54/3
educated [1] 5/17	67/14	found [2] 52/2 73/18
educating [2] 61/10 62/4	external [1] 15/8	four [1] 66/5 FRANKFORT [1] 1/15
education [1] 35/20	F	frequently [1] 49/11
efficiency [1] 16/23	Facebook [1] 25/7	friendly [1] 7/9
either [3] 4/24 44/7 46/16 elect [1] 11/22	faced [1] 30/25	friends [1] 35/21
electronic [2] 33/25 37/7	facilities [1] 55/19	front [1] 14/20
eligibility [4] 77/24 77/25 78/7 78/12	fact [3] 10/11 20/25 68/11	full [4] 30/3 36/11 51/23 68/15
eligible [1] 46/19	facts [1] 80/7	fuller [1] 9/12
eliminate [1] 15/2	fair [1] 67/3 faith [2] 36/20 36/24	fully [2] 31/18 70/8 fund [2] 49/16 49/18
Elizabeth [1] 2/11	fall [1] 18/3	funded [1] 47/9
else [8] 5/22 14/16 15/23 17/24 18/9 31/24	falling [1] 78/6	funding [1] 47/16
49/22 75/23 emergencies [1] 49/4	falls [1] 15/10	further [2] 5/3 36/9
emergency [8] 47/12 47/14 47/17 49/3	families [14] 6/16 6/21 6/22 25/9 25/17	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$
49/6 49/11 50/13 50/14	25/23 25/24 26/5 31/19 33/18 35/15 53/8	
encourage [2] 60/25 73/12	59/22 78/10 family [6] 1/2 6/12 24/16 25/5 26/12 59/12	game [1] 67/11
end [6] 21/6 21/14 21/20 21/23 39/14	FAQ [2] 21/15 21/23	gathered [1] 20/19 gathering [1] 53/1
46/15	far [10] 5/5 5/11 5/12 5/17 31/23 42/10	gave [4] 36/7 36/24 66/13 67/13
ended [1] 60/5	48/10 59/5 75/19 76/23	general [7] 20/21 49/16 49/18 51/1 55/17
ending [1] 40/15 engagement [1] 32/1	faster [1] 29/2	56/15 56/21
enough [5] 50/17 59/12 60/18 63/13 63/14	favor [1] 79/18	get [43] 3/15 5/14 5/23 9/9 9/24 10/10
ensconced [1] 29/10	FAYETTE [1] 80/2	14/15 17/16 18/10 19/17 19/19 19/20
ensure [3] 60/14 62/21 71/3	fear [1] 67/1 February [1] 48/15	23/19 25/12 26/2 27/1 27/6 29/7 31/1 31/9 31/10 32/10 34/10 39/16 39/18 42/16 44/9
entered [1] 23/25	federal [5] 28/9 39/10 64/20 65/9 70/11	46/13 46/23 47/6 48/23 55/1 57/4 59/8
entire [1] 66/14	feedback [2] 20/22 66/13	61/13 61/18 63/17 63/18 67/8 68/8 72/3
entities [3] 23/8 35/1 52/19	feel [13] 23/3 25/13 25/14 25/16 25/22	73/9 76/8
equally [1] 60/15 equitable [1] 62/16	25/23 26/2 26/4 33/16 50/1 59/6 59/11	gets [6] 19/7 21/16 21/24 22/5 23/12 57/2
even [18] 20/4 24/4 26/24 28/5 29/22 31/3	59/13	getting [20] 11/16 17/4 17/5 20/23 25/10
31/20 33/2 33/4 35/20 38/15 41/18 45/25	feeling [1] 27/4	25/16 25/22 31/16 31/19 32/6 35/19 39/24
63/2 68/4 78/7 78/13 79/6	few [1] 47/19 figure [1] 12/24	42/14 59/6 62/5 71/3 72/2 75/19 78/7 78/13
ever [4] 31/22 32/10 33/6 33/10	figuring [1] 13/1	ghetto [1] 6/12
every [9] 13/5 13/19 13/19 18/10 21/17	file [1] 19/8	give [10] 4/18 6/7 6/8 21/5 24/8 28/3 34/1
29/20 33/14 33/15 65/13	filled [1] 44/17	35/4 52/14 67/7
everybody [7] 19/7 21/16 21/24 22/5 22/21 25/9 78/10	filter [1] 63/8	given [5] 19/21 36/12 78/20 79/5 80/15
everyone [7] 10/24 22/8 32/7 32/11 33/13	final [12] 28/23 29/17 39/3 59/2 59/20	giving [2] 23/3 68/3
53/8 60/12	68/11 69/11 69/21 70/11 73/3 73/13 76/3	glad [1] 6/23
everything [7] 16/24 18/9 25/6 26/19	finalize [1] 26/17 financials [1] 42/25	go [21] 3/22 4/4 6/18 14/18 17/16 17/24 18/12 24/20 26/24 27/8 29/2 31/8 32/3
29/10 32/12 77/5	find [4] 8/9 9/3 41/15 64/9	42/8 47/7 54/3 54/6 61/21 70/19 75/19

34/25 40/23 60/5 I'm [24] 5/25 6/12 6/23 7/3 8/21 9/9 14/1 G havoc [1] 78/2 15/20 17/12 17/14 17/22 22/14 25/2 25/6 **go...** [1] 77/16 25/7 25/8 25/21 34/3 38/6 46/1 50/16 HCB [4] 18/3 19/5 19/6 70/25 goal [1] 67/24 HCBS [2] 38/12 70/10 56/17 74/22 77/21 goes [4] 42/15 61/3 62/24 63/2 I've [4] 20/22 21/1 41/24 60/22 He [1] 51/11 going [44] 3/8 3/9 3/11 3/12 5/25 6/22 7/3 head [2] 45/15 48/17 ICFMR [1] 56/7 7/4 7/7 15/5 15/25 16/17 17/15 17/17 18/8 headed [1] 72/17 ICFMRs [3] 55/11 55/13 55/22 18/12 18/13 18/18 22/16 22/20 24/23 25/4 **ID [2]** 10/13 80/24 health [4] 1/2 1/13 18/4 35/1 34/13 35/17 35/23 37/6 39/1 40/12 49/18 hear [10] 4/9 4/10 21/18 21/20 22/2 26/8 **IDD** [1] 8/16 51/13 51/14 51/19 58/6 64/22 65/12 65/21 36/16 38/25 39/17 78/18 idea [2] 13/4 58/10 67/2 68/24 69/4 72/3 74/6 77/3 78/4 79/4 heard [15] 22/1 29/21 31/15 32/5 32/14 ideas [1] 26/7 gone [2] 52/1 71/10 32/18 32/18 35/21 36/21 40/4 40/7 59/22 **ideology** [1] 73/8 good [16] 7/2 31/3 31/9 31/11 31/13 36/20 60/1 66/2 79/5 implement [3] 10/19 40/21 43/2 36/24 39/20 42/18 52/13 55/6 56/4 60/9 hearing [2] 34/3 38/6 **implementation** [2] 40/12 40/19 62/10 68/21 72/25 heightened [13] 29/17 59/21 59/25 61/17 implemented [1] 56/1 **goods** [1] 5/11 65/14 67/16 68/10 69/15 69/24 71/7 72/20 **important** [2] 5/23 58/23 **got [8]** 24/15 29/1 60/5 63/7 63/12 66/7 74/5 74/13 **impossible** [1] 73/19 76/25 79/8 held [3] 1/11 20/24 49/4 **impromptu** [1] 75/4 Gotcha [2] 68/7 69/10 help [2] 29/1 73/2 improve [4] 28/2 31/25 32/1 78/23 gotten [3] 32/2 32/2 39/2 **helping [2]** 73/10 73/13 **improved** [1] 78/5 government [2] 28/10 39/10 her [3] 18/13 18/15 34/16 improvement [1] 17/3 greater [1] 14/11 **improving** [1] 17/7 here [10] 3/3 12/7 12/14 52/8 54/20 58/2 Gresham [2] 2/12 2/14 in-home [4] 37/14 37/22 38/21 39/23 60/21 68/24 77/21 79/4 group [8] 3/10 23/10 27/3 56/17 62/22 here's [16] 19/10 28/20 28/24 28/25 33/8 **incidence** [1] 25/1 66/1 69/1 77/23 36/8 43/8 52/16 52/25 54/3 63/11 69/2 include [1] 37/21 groups [8] 21/25 24/6 32/17 32/23 52/18 69/3 69/20 72/24 72/24 included [1] 36/9 60/6 62/16 62/24 hereto [2] 80/7 80/9 includes [1] 55/18 grow [1] 50/10 hereunto [1] 80/20 including [1] 38/15 guess [13] 3/7 4/14 8/21 17/12 29/23 34/2 hesitate [1] 33/20 inclusive [2] 31/22 38/12 55/1 57/11 57/15 63/12 64/9 65/8 79/12 hey [4] 28/20 37/6 69/20 79/4 **incorporate** [1] 35/10 guidance [6] 34/10 39/3 40/5 67/7 68/1 him [2] 51/10 51/11 incorporated [2] 7/23 77/21 68/3 his [1] 53/2 increase [6] 14/13 19/13 40/16 40/22 guideline [1] 7/5 historic [2] 11/7 11/12 41/22 41/23 gun [1] 65/24 **historical** [1] 19/20 indefinite [1] 39/9 guy [1] 51/9 historically [1] 19/5 individual [4] 11/13 19/10 19/15 42/22 history [1] 18/13 individualized [3] 11/24 13/16 20/18 hit [3] 35/17 35/17 49/12 individuals [8] 4/10 5/14 5/21 24/9 26/8 had [35] 3/23 9/2 10/23 14/11 14/20 18/5 hold [1] 46/5 49/24 55/19 55/22 21/1 22/23 24/17 34/1 40/10 47/25 49/10 holistically [1] 73/2 industry [1] 22/13 49/10 49/12 50/25 54/24 54/24 55/5 58/4 **home** [7] 18/4 35/1 37/14 37/22 38/21 **influence** [1] 23/5 59/4 59/22 60/9 61/2 62/7 62/19 62/20 39/23 39/25 influx [2] 27/1 27/7 62/21 63/4 63/6 67/17 67/18 69/16 74/5 honestly [8] 29/12 29/22 31/2 32/5 32/8 **informally** [1] 36/24 77/4 32/25 53/4 73/4 **information [20]** 21/25 22/5 25/3 25/10 half [2] 29/18 66/1 hope [15] 25/5 27/25 29/9 29/13 29/14 26/9 28/4 32/3 34/17 35/11 37/5 39/17 hall [5] 22/17 24/19 24/22 26/15 27/16 29/24 30/7 30/9 34/20 53/5 68/2 68/4 72/8 39/18 61/15 67/2 67/9 68/21 68/22 78/20 halls [11] 3/13 4/6 4/17 4/25 20/23 21/15 72/11 72/12 79/5 79/8 21/24 28/1 30/5 30/8 79/6 hopefully [3] 33/11 39/21 61/19 infrastructure [1] 34/22 hand [3] 16/25 21/12 80/21 hospital [1] 17/5 **initially [1]** 61/1 handbooks [2] 7/8 7/22 hour [2] 12/7 20/11 initiative [2] 36/1 36/4 handing [1] 25/15 house [3] 31/1 78/25 79/3 injury [1] 8/16 handle [1] 7/2 input [3] 17/15 28/25 58/8 housed [2] 15/13 15/14 hang [1] 6/13 houses [1] 5/19 instance [5] 8/15 28/22 29/16 31/5 34/23 Hanna [1] 2/17 how [51] 3/8 3/11 5/25 6/18 11/1 13/2 **instruction** [1] 36/10 happens [3] 9/21 10/11 73/23 20/4 23/6 25/11 26/7 26/17 28/14 29/23 instructions [2] 36/8 36/13 happy [2] 33/14 65/6 31/5 31/24 33/18 34/4 34/23 35/3 35/10 intellectual [2] 1/6 55/20 hard [8] 36/11 38/24 46/9 62/17 62/25 40/2 40/12 40/18 42/1 42/15 43/20 44/10 intend [2] 38/11 77/20 63/10 64/2 64/2 45/5 46/22 46/24 46/25 47/11 47/20 48/5 **intended** [1] 68/13 harder [2] 63/9 63/20 intent [3] 21/14 73/3 73/13 50/21 53/9 53/10 53/11 53/12 55/7 55/25 hardest [2] 35/17 35/18 58/5 58/9 61/4 61/7 64/9 66/20 71/6 71/10 interest [1] 44/18 Harvey [1] 2/8 76/7 78/3 interesting [3] 42/20 55/16 58/2 has [13] 18/10 31/22 32/13 33/6 36/12 intermediate [1] 55/19 huge [1] 27/7 37/5 42/7 65/3 66/22 67/21 69/20 70/17 **huh** [16] 11/15 13/18 14/10 17/20 20/12 internal [2] 15/8 15/9 74/9 26/13 29/8 30/20 43/7 47/2 50/24 54/7 interpret [1] 38/11 hates [1] 23/22 59/3 64/11 73/24 76/9 **interpreted** [1] 39/21 have [171] interviewing [1] 32/24 haven't [6] 4/12 4/17 39/2 39/14 49/10 **intimately [1]** 35/19 73/18 **I'd [2]** 16/21 21/9 **introduced** [1] 57/15 having [8] 5/16 8/13 8/16 8/17 28/23 **I'll [5]** 6/8 14/1 14/20 19/25 79/14 involved [6] 35/19 53/19 63/15 64/1 64/5

6/18 8/1 8/6 11/2 11/6 15/22 16/7 16/17 51/2 51/17 52/21 61/7 65/16 78/3 16/22 16/25 17/3 17/7 17/10 17/21 19/24 looks [7] 31/17 68/19 70/22 70/23 72/2 involved... [1] 64/9 20/1 20/2 21/7 21/8 22/20 23/21 24/20 72/16 74/15 involvement [2] 4/3 54/15 25/5 25/7 25/13 26/2 27/20 29/3 29/6 31/5 **Lori [2]** 2/12 13/22 is [148] 32/13 34/9 36/17 38/7 40/18 41/7 41/8 lot [19] 7/7 17/1 17/14 17/17 22/1 23/19 isn't [2] 18/19 50/7 41/8 41/24 41/25 43/20 46/1 47/11 50/10 26/5 31/3 32/25 34/3 39/19 59/18 59/22 issue [7] 5/3 38/9 52/12 58/7 60/4 77/23 60/9 61/14 62/23 63/1 75/25 77/23 50/13 51/1 51/13 52/16 52/20 53/4 53/9 53/10 53/11 55/14 61/6 62/7 62/11 63/19 lots [2] 8/22 9/4 issues [1] 58/25 64/17 67/12 68/25 69/3 72/24 74/22 75/17 LRC [2] 51/4 53/19 it [137] 75/24 76/1 76/3 76/4 76/5 77/22 78/1 Lynn [1] 72/7 it's [41] 7/4 11/11 12/21 15/10 15/21 78/24 15/21 19/3 19/19 20/18 21/10 23/25 29/21 M knowing [3] 5/13 35/8 36/4 29/21 30/25 33/24 34/15 35/16 37/22 MAC [7] 18/2 55/1 57/5 57/17 57/22 77/8 known [2] 23/5 62/2 39/21 42/16 42/24 43/23 45/10 46/8 46/9 knows [1] 53/12 77/10 46/10 47/17 52/2 59/24 63/20 64/2 65/2 Kriess [1] 2/11 made [7] 14/22 23/15 25/5 30/22 36/1 67/3 69/11 74/10 75/8 75/10 76/23 77/21 **KY [1]** 80/23 49/3 67/15 78/17 79/1 Magre [1] 2/16 item [3] 33/22 33/24 50/25 L Maher [1] 2/7 items [1] 3/2 large [3] 33/16 80/6 80/24 mail [11] 4/7 4/24 22/14 23/11 23/13 itself [3] 7/16 18/23 19/3 last [10] 5/7 24/15 47/5 47/19 52/6 53/24 23/15 23/20 24/2 24/2 59/23 63/12 54/25 55/5 56/25 77/11 mails [1] 23/22 later [3] 62/11 68/13 80/13 MAIN [1] 1/14 J on [1] 42/25 maintain [1] 12/17 Laura [1] 2/23 **January [3]** 45/11 45/12 45/13 Lead [1] 67/6 make [33] 3/7 15/18 17/19 20/21 22/4 January 18th [1] 45/11 LeAnn [1] 2/16 22/9 23/4 24/1 24/14 33/13 33/15 35/11 January 8th [1] 45/12 learning [1] 59/20 35/15 36/6 36/10 47/4 56/3 57/19 57/23 **job** [3] 6/6 61/10 62/4 least [3] 6/11 72/22 75/18 62/15 63/9 63/24 64/6 64/8 64/10 71/25 **Johnny [5]** 2/5 33/25 40/10 51/3 57/25 leave [2] 22/19 77/18 73/11 74/17 75/10 75/15 75/17 77/20 JOLINDA [2] 80/4 80/23 lengthy [2] 42/11 42/11 79/14 July [11] 40/19 40/22 41/4 43/3 54/19 less [3] 7/5 19/20 46/18 makes [2] 6/3 17/14 70/9 76/19 76/20 76/21 76/22 80/22 let [1] 52/20 making [1] 38/24 **July 1 [2]** 40/22 54/19 let's [8] 10/17 19/5 20/9 27/6 30/25 32/3 management [6] 3/6 5/3 5/6 7/1 27/3 27/8 **July 11 [3]** 76/20 76/21 76/22 32/4 48/7 manager [4] 6/15 24/17 24/25 25/19 July 16 [1] 70/9 managers [5] 24/7 25/2 25/13 32/19 32/20 **letter [5]** 34/17 52/6 53/23 54/1 68/12 July 1st [1] 43/3 mandate [2] 37/16 58/3 level [1] 64/20 jumped [1] 65/24 liaisons [2] 60/7 60/8 manual [2] 31/7 42/10 jumping [1] 73/17 life [1] 63/19 manuals [1] 7/22 June [1] 3/17 like [63] 4/13 5/11 5/13 5/14 5/17 5/23 many [19] 3/1 17/5 20/4 22/15 26/8 31/5 June 15th [1] 3/17 10/16 12/7 14/18 14/24 14/25 16/21 17/23 38/14 43/20 44/10 47/6 47/11 47/20 48/5 just [54] 3/4 5/16 6/8 9/17 9/24 10/7 13/8 18/9 20/10 21/9 22/21 23/3 23/24 24/24 50/21 66/4 66/20 70/21 71/6 71/10 15/3 15/21 18/5 18/12 19/16 19/25 20/21 25/13 25/14 25/16 25/22 25/23 26/2 26/4 March [1] 50/20 20/22 20/24 21/10 24/12 25/15 25/22 29/4 30/5 31/17 31/20 33/16 37/10 47/19 March 1st [1] 50/20 26/14 30/5 30/11 34/3 36/15 40/17 47/17 49/25 50/1 54/17 58/8 58/8 59/6 59/11 marks [1] 31/9 50/16 51/6 53/15 54/1 54/8 55/3 57/4 57/6 59/13 62/7 62/11 62/12 63/12 64/22 65/3 matter [1] 68/11 57/14 57/16 57/19 62/7 62/14 66/16 67/9 65/20 65/23 68/19 69/9 69/13 70/14 70/21 may [18] 1/19 19/20 19/24 20/21 30/11 69/9 69/12 71/14 73/7 73/12 73/22 75/13 72/2 72/16 73/9 74/11 75/18 77/6 78/2 34/15 36/21 37/23 39/4 39/5 41/6 52/21 75/17 77/17 77/22 78/1 79/1 79/7 52/24 64/23 65/1 66/4 71/5 77/14 likely [1] 20/15 maybe [10] 3/4 7/7 7/8 13/24 15/25 16/1 **limitation** [1] 20/10 55/2 58/16 65/23 77/20 **KAPP [3]** 2/4 2/5 2/8 MCO [1] 78/1 limitations [3] 12/4 20/4 20/19 KARP [1] 2/18 **list [18]** 9/18 9/20 9/22 9/25 10/10 43/9 me [22] 6/14 6/17 14/20 14/24 15/22 16/5 Katie [1] 2/6 43/16 43/17 44/21 45/1 45/4 45/7 46/20 23/2 24/16 24/18 24/25 25/1 25/20 33/20 Kendra [1] 2/22 47/12 47/15 48/21 48/24 62/13 46/1 59/23 62/3 63/18 64/2 67/7 80/10 KENTUCKY [13] 1/1 1/15 2/15 19/4 listen [1] 33/17 80/10 80/13 21/19 35/24 36/3 38/23 40/2 67/20 71/7 lists [1] 49/11 mean [35] 4/16 6/14 8/9 12/5 12/9 12/10 80/1 80/6 little [4] 29/2 63/20 65/24 65/24 15/15 15/20 19/25 20/15 20/17 23/7 25/10 Kentucky's [2] 28/21 65/4 living [3] 5/18 5/18 55/12 25/24 28/4 28/14 30/12 33/2 38/1 39/13 **kept** [1] 60/11 load [1] 26/10 40/2 40/3 43/3 46/9 47/20 48/20 49/9 57/2 kickbacks [1] 23/19 **location** [1] 73/19 62/2 63/20 65/20 66/12 70/1 70/14 76/5 kicked [2] 76/25 77/24 Locker [1] 2/10 meaning [1] 57/22 kicks [1] 23/23 long [11] 28/14 29/23 42/15 46/8 46/10 means [3] 28/3 34/15 35/20 kind [25] 6/7 7/3 15/24 16/4 16/6 21/3 46/22 46/24 46/25 51/25 67/2 74/10 meant [1] 11/2 21/4 23/1 25/2 35/12 36/4 36/7 36/16 38/6 look [14] 4/13 11/9 19/4 19/5 23/18 26/25 meantime [1] 9/16 38/8 40/25 51/17 53/15 53/20 55/14 55/16 27/9 35/2 52/16 65/10 69/20 70/19 78/16 mechanism [1] 24/12 64/6 66/25 67/4 68/19 78/17 **MEDICAID** [6] 1/3 16/2 16/25 17/2 78/15 kinds [3] 20/19 52/20 78/1 looked [7] 3/24 3/25 14/24 32/15 34/19 78/17 knew [1] 25/11 50/14 73/4 medical [3] 17/1 17/8 17/16 **know [80]** 3/6 3/8 3/10 5/6 5/7 6/1 6/17 looking [11] 8/12 11/7 15/12 18/25 31/23 medical-based [1] 17/1

meet [4] 45/22 47/17 49/6 68/5 meeting [18] 1/7 24/19 54/25 58/16 59/2 59/17 59/17 59/23 60/24 62/3 62/15 63/6 75/15 76/12 76/13 77/8 77/10 79/21 meetings [8] 4/17 26/15 27/16 59/9 60/17 61/11 63/2 63/25 members [3] 14/23 26/12 59/12 memory [1] 15/5 mention [1] 12/7 met [2] 38/9 68/25 methodology [10] 11/5 11/10 12/12 13/12 13/13 31/12 41/22 51/25 52/1 53/7 Michelle [9] 10/6 20/10 43/9 43/15 43/21 44/1 50/1 50/8 54/8 middle [1] 63/6 might [4] 12/20 27/19 50/17 58/9 mind [2] 21/9 34/25 minds [2] 17/13 17/22 mindset [1] 22/17 missing [3] 25/24 26/5 77/19 mixed [1] 35/8 MMIS [1] 34/24 model [7] 13/24 17/1 17/16 35/7 35/8 35/8 73/11 modify [1] 55/13 mom [1] 52/14 Monday [2] 63/13 70/9 money [1] 13/2 monitor [1] 15/11 monitored [1] 71/4 monitorings [2] 71/2 71/3 month [4] 42/17 47/4 47/10 59/16 months [1] 41/3 more [36] 5/20 6/3 6/19 7/8 7/21 9/17 16/12 19/8 19/17 19/19 26/14 27/11 28/8 30/3 30/5 30/7 30/9 30/11 30/13 30/19 31/21 33/5 34/10 34/14 37/5 38/11 44/11 45/4 50/11 51/19 52/15 59/6 73/2 74/1 75/13 77/14 most [6] 11/6 35/18 43/10 70/25 72/4 73/17 motion [2] 54/25 79/14 **motions** [1] 77/10 move [6] 30/18 39/4 46/16 52/7 52/24 67/5 moved [2] 77/6 78/14 moving [4] 42/18 45/3 47/21 56/10 much [6] 31/21 33/5 33/10 63/9 75/21 76/7 **OATS** [3] 34/18 35/14 36/17 **multiple** [1] 8/13 obviously [4] 17/2 26/16 27/3 65/5 **murmurings** [1] 38/25 must [1] 68/15 MWMA [6] 24/7 26/10 34/24 36/18 78/22 mv [17] 6/11 6/13 18/9 18/12 22/11 22/14 23/16 24/24 29/14 49/17 53/5 59/9 63/11 63/15 67/1 80/19 80/21 named [1] 80/9

names [1] 44/19 narrowly [1] 39/22 national [4] 34/4 34/16 38/8 40/3 Navigant [15] 3/2 6/24 7/6 9/7 13/23 14/17 14/19 22/16 23/17 26/24 32/22 52/4 52/9 54/14 79/11 Navigant's [5] 3/19 51/18 54/1 78/16 79/2

necessarily [1] 70/23 need [26] 3/6 4/21 7/23 11/10 12/12 12/13 | Ombudsman's [1] 33/5 14/7 19/8 19/11 19/13 19/13 19/14 31/6 35/14 44/20 57/19 59/6 60/21 61/10 61/18 62/1 68/23 75/21 76/6 76/7 76/8 needed [2] 54/21 69/17 needs [6] 10/23 14/4 14/12 18/13 50/2 50/9 needs-based [1] 10/23 neutral [1] 19/18 never [6] 22/16 23/16 24/17 25/19 41/24 68/13 **nevermind** [1] 60/1 new [13] 14/15 14/15 49/21 54/17 61/18 68/10 68/13 68/14 69/6 69/23 74/14 75/7 next [19] 24/23 27/10 29/9 30/18 33/22 33/24 34/20 35/4 40/15 41/15 44/3 47/3 47/10 48/16 58/16 67/5 74/11 76/12 76/13 nice [1] 34/16 Nicole [1] 2/7 nights [1] 5/15 no [29] 9/24 11/19 21/4 21/6 22/6 23/23 27/2 27/7 29/12 37/12 39/1 39/16 39/18 41/3 44/2 48/4 50/18 56/2 58/12 64/1 65/15 65/15 66/21 66/21 68/5 71/19 72/3 73/21 80/16 nobody [1] 37/5 Nods [2] 45/15 48/17 Nolls [5] 51/9 51/9 51/21 53/2 53/18 not [81] Notary [2] 80/5 80/24 **noted** [1] 69/15 **nothing [6]** 18/7 32/10 49/22 66/2 67/17 67/18 notice [2] 75/15 75/18 **noticed [2]** 3/5 77/19 notification [2] 59/5 63/17 notifications [2] 59/7 75/16 now [18] 14/18 20/6 28/15 29/19 31/14 37/20 43/4 47/14 48/7 55/8 57/15 58/11 65/14 65/20 66/1 68/1 69/9 73/9 **number [6]** 12/4 12/5 12/6 19/16 47/7 50/19 numbers [3] 43/9 49/7 52/12

nurses [1] 44/24 nuts [2] 9/14 15/15

O

occur [2] 40/13 48/25 off [2] 46/2 77/24 offered [1] 50/5 office [7] 5/1 33/5 40/24 41/11 41/14 42/7 80/21 official [1] 4/23 officials [1] 38/10 oh [16] 3/23 10/20 12/8 12/22 12/25 17/4 36/22 38/5 39/1 42/13 60/1 65/7 66/18 68/13 73/9 76/15 OIG [1] 70/25 okay [39] 4/1 4/14 4/20 9/23 10/15 11/20 12/2 12/25 22/10 23/1 30/9 31/14 31/17 32/2 33/8 35/6 37/18 38/5 42/2 42/9 42/19 43/25 45/23 48/9 54/12 54/23 57/7 57/23

58/12 59/10 66/19 69/10 71/22 72/1 72/24

75/12 75/22 76/11 76/15

old [1] 67/3 once [4] 9/10 16/12 45/2 53/5 one [36] 3/5 5/16 7/11 8/9 8/10 9/2 9/3 11/11 11/12 19/1 20/21 21/18 22/23 26/14 30/11 30/24 31/24 32/14 35/6 41/16 55/5 59/15 60/6 60/22 60/24 61/9 65/5 65/25 66/5 70/8 70/17 74/1 75/5 75/13 77/14 77/19 ones [3] 46/15 68/4 68/22 only [6] 22/11 37/13 37/15 39/22 46/13 67/14 **open [11]** 24/11 26/7 35/7 35/14 47/20 55/3 68/14 70/12 70/24 74/6 75/6 opening [1] 74/14 opens [2] 69/7 69/23 opinion [1] 59/9 opportunity [3] 21/7 22/18 52/16 opposed [2] 11/11 53/15 **optimizing** [1] 31/10 **option [4]** 5/16 20/3 41/6 41/16 options [5] 5/12 5/13 5/16 5/17 5/19 oranges [1] 66/12 order [3] 31/1 40/21 59/7 organization [1] 6/17 organizations [1] 52/15 organize [1] 3/9 other [17] 16/24 19/2 20/20 33/23 35/13 37/5 40/20 41/22 49/25 58/24 64/18 64/22 66/3 68/24 77/1 79/3 79/12 otherwise [1] 56/4 our [58] 5/1 6/21 6/21 8/8 15/11 17/9 17/18 21/25 23/9 23/22 24/6 27/24 29/24 30/7 31/1 31/3 31/6 32/6 32/11 32/24 33/1 33/1 33/22 33/24 34/17 34/19 34/20 34/22 34/25 35/4 35/9 35/15 35/21 36/17 38/7 41/9 43/10 51/24 52/11 58/16 59/5 60/23 64/20 64/25 67/5 67/6 67/20 67/23 68/1 68/3 69/13 72/4 72/4 72/11 72/12 72/18 73/17 76/12 ours [1] 66/11 out [43] 6/10 8/24 13/1 17/5 21/12 23/14 25/6 25/15 30/19 32/4 32/12 35/6 36/5 41/5 41/12 41/15 44/5 44/7 44/19 45/14 46/3 46/16 47/21 48/2 49/1 51/11 52/6 52/17 53/23 53/25 54/6 56/10 56/11 59/16 59/18 60/17 61/1 63/15 75/3 75/9 75/16 76/25 78/6 out and [1] 75/3 outreach [1] 28/1 outside [1] 79/2 over [3] 25/11 47/7 47/19 overarching [1] 7/21 oversight [1] 15/12 **Owensboro** [1] 21/18 own [2] 39/25 65/3

package [2] 9/13 27/10 packet [1] 62/23 packets [7] 59/21 59/25 61/4 61/13 62/20 65/16 68/21 packets you [1] 65/16 paid [1] 70/10 Pam [1] 2/21 paper [1] 52/15 paper-based [1] 52/15 parent [5] 22/12 22/15 22/18 22/24 23/2

P	practices [2] 69/18 72/25	rates [16] 11/17 11/18 12/4 12/5 13/8 13/9
	preclude [1] 74/14	13/10 13/11 13/14 18/21 18/25 51/18 53/9
parents [1] 23/4	preliminarily [1] 29/1	53/10 53/11 53/13
parents' [1] 24/2	preliminary [2] 8/11 44/20	rather [1] 16/2
part [15] 22/2 22/3 28/5 31/14 37/19 42/22 51/10 51/12 51/18 54/16 54/18	premature [2] 4/15 36/11	ratio [1] 46/12
	prepared [1] 42/3	reach [2] 33/18 35/6
69/12 78/2 78/25 79/9	prescribed [1] 4/23	reached [1] 51/11
participant [1] 60/8	present [2] 65/17 77/10	react [1] 42/1
participants [3] 43/22 60/18 60/20	presentation [1] 21/21	read [9] 7/4 7/13 15/23 15/24 16/7 21/4
participate [1] 70/4	presented [5] 21/8 22/20 57/5 57/17 64/19	38/19 61/4 61/6
particular [4] 6/16 10/7 11/21 74/20	Presley [1] 2/23	reading [1] 7/9
particularly [1] 11/3	probably [8] 16/23 20/13 27/5 29/20 47/3	ready [1] 79/13
parties [2] 80/12 80/17	47/9 55/11 65/9	real [2] 21/6 78/9
partnered [1] 51/22	problem [2] 5/9 8/8	realize [1] 37/4
party [1] 80/16	problems [2] 9/2 17/17	reallocate [1] 46/25
pass [2] 46/17 54/25	procedures [2] 70/20 74/12	really [36] 3/3 5/23 6/6 6/14 16/12 21/1
passed [8] 40/15 51/1 56/14 56/15 56/21	proceed [1] 3/17	23/4 25/12 33/15 33/16 35/16 36/6 36/11
56/25 57/3 77/11	process [22] 4/8 28/3 28/5 41/2 42/11	36/15 37/8 39/19 40/6 40/25 52/1 55/6
Passport [1] 2/17	42/12 42/17 46/6 46/10 47/18 53/4 53/18	55/6 55/15 57/12 58/5 58/22 60/9 60/9
past [7] 19/6 23/7 28/22 32/13 48/1 49/8	53/20 64/12 64/20 64/25 65/1 65/3 66/6	60/13 62/9 62/10 64/2 66/24 67/11 67/15
51/24	70/18 71/11 77/17	69/25 73/16
paycheck [1] 6/11	processed [1] 45/3	reason [3] 21/13 23/21 41/20
payment [1] 78/6	processes [3] 4/11 29/1 69/5	recall [1] 55/5
PDS [4] 11/22 11/22 18/15 35/15	professional 5 6/20 22/12 22/14 25/19	receive [1] 12/20
pediatric [2] 8/5 10/4	80/5	received [5] 22/16 23/17 39/6 39/9 52/5
peers [1] 69/1	professionalism [1] 5/24	recent [1] 43/10
people [31] 6/4 6/5 9/18 9/20 11/22 13/25	professionals 1 3/24	recently [1] 44/18
17/1 17/11 21/2 21/17 21/19 22/1 22/3	program [2] 51/17 55/23	recipients [1] 78/9
26/1 31/6 32/8 44/10 45/3 47/7 47/14	project [1] 79/1	recommend [1] 55/21
47/15 48/19 48/21 49/6 60/3 62/7 62/9	prong [1] 69/14	recommendation [24] 6/24 8/3 9/12 10/19
63/4 63/15 64/7 77/24	proper [2] 5/8 5/8	11/1 11/9 14/21 15/3 15/14 15/23 16/14
per [2] 13/8 15/10	properly [1] 5/10	16/16 16/18 17/23 18/24 26/25 27/9 27/11
percent [6] 40/16 40/22 43/11 43/18 46/19	property [1] 3/10	33/14 34/21 52/23 53/6 55/13 56/6
47/9	provider 9 52/6 54/1 60/7 67/4 70/3	recommendations [20] 3/20 3/21 4/4 4/16
performed [1] 51/4	70/10 70/22 70/24 74/4	7/12 7/24 9/9 9/11 11/24 13/5 15/7 19/2
period [2] 26/22 61/22	providers [20] 29/18 35/9 35/9 52/5 53/10	21/3 30/12 30/19 30/24 32/16 35/5 56/13
person [7] 12/20 13/1 13/5 13/17 13/19	53/24 54/6 54/10 60/2 60/16 60/19 60/25	57/3
39/24 75/19	62/19 67/23 68/10 68/25 72/19 73/17	recommended [2] 8/7 64/14
person's [1] 13/15	75/25 78/10	recommending [5] 9/8 15/1 16/20 54/2
personal [7] 23/16 37/14 37/20 37/21	public [13] 1/13 3/15 3/16 4/23 4/25 26/24	
37/22 38/13 38/20	27/12 27/24 31/21 42/12 61/21 80/5 80/24	record [2] 57/15 80/14
personally [1] 80/9	pull [3] 35/1 52/12 52/13	redesign [2] 51/11 77/15
persons [1] 76/1	pulling [2] 4/7 33/6	reduced [1] 80/13
phenomenal [1] 32/19	put [12] 9/20 14/23 21/22 30/3 32/12	reference [2] 7/23 18/1
physically [1] 74/10	35/23 39/14 62/12 65/13 67/20 67/21	reforms [1] 3/7
picture [3] 6/12 35/3 51/23	71/20	refrigerator [1] 6/13
piece [3] 35/13 73/1 78/3	putting [4] 25/6 25/7 34/21 35/25	Reg [1] 41/5
pieces [2] 8/13 69/11	putting [4] 23/0 23/7 34/21 33/23	Registered [1] 80/4
place [7] 14/5 31/14 41/4 74/12 75/2 78/8	Q	regroup [1] 63/7
80/8	QA [3] 14/25 75/2 75/9	regulation [2] 7/5 41/23
placed [1] 48/23	QAs [1] 15/2	regulation-based [1] 7/5
plan [9] 13/7 13/15 14/2 48/13 49/20	qualified [2] 55/22 58/6	regulations [5] 7/3 7/8 7/21 12/15 67/22
49/22 58/14 63/19 63/24	quality [10] 6/25 14/22 14/25 15/7 15/8	related [1] 56/25
planning [3] 58/13 62/23 63/1	15/8 15/9 15/9 15/12 78/3	relates [1] 56/13
plans [6] 17/18 39/4 61/18 72/21 73/5 73/6	question [10] 10/23 14/21 19/25 21/9	relationship [1] 33/4
please [10] 21/21 24/8 24/11 28/3 33/19	21/17 26/14 30/1 46/15 74/1 77/14	released [1] 52/22
33/19 33/19 40/6 52/20 67/7	questions [8] 14/17 20/20 20/25 21/18	remain [1] 19/18
point [5] 7/18 15/25 19/12 62/19 72/1	21/22 22/6 34/1 40/11	remember [2] 49/14 72/7
police [1] 62/1	quick [1] 31/4	repass [1] 55/2
policies [2] 70/20 74/12	quite [11] 3/3 8/7 31/2 32/4 32/7 32/25	replace [1] 7/7
pool [1] 31/7	46/7 53/4 58/2 61/3 73/3	report [4] 24/21 30/3 53/19 53/21
pop [1] 52/15	quorum [3] 54/20 54/22 54/24	Reporter [1] 80/5
population [1] 8/19		reports [1] 47/4
populations [2] 8/15 8/18	R	representation [1] 67/3
portion [1] 10/4	randomly [1] 77/25	represented [2] 59/13 60/15
pose [1] 14/18	rate [18] 18/11 31/12 40/11 40/16 41/21	representing [1] 6/21
possible [1] 26/9	42/21 42/22 51/2 51/3 51/20 51/24 52/1	request [6] 9/25 42/4 45/24 50/12 53/3
possibly [2] 20/16 38/14	52/4 52/8 52/17 53/5 54/2 54/15	54/4
	32, 132, 032, 11, 33, 33, 33, 12, 33, 13	<i>3</i> 7/7

R	68/13 68/25 71/21 72/8	similar [2] 27/25 28/1
requested [2] 52/3 80/17	Sayres [1] 2/22	simply [1] 9/25
requests [1] 45/5	says [6] 19/10 27/1 29/6 38/20 40/3 70/7	since [3] 44/11 44/14 50/20
required [4] 37/13 37/16 38/18 65/1	scenes [1] 62/18	sister [1] 15/16
requirements [2] 12/16 15/10	schedule [2] 40/18 76/12	sit [4] 32/9 60/10 62/22 67/1
requires [1] 35/12	scheduled [3] 76/14 76/16 76/17 SCL [16] 38/2 40/11 40/17 43/8 47/11	site [5] 65/12 65/13 65/21 70/14 73/23 sites [2] 72/13 74/5
residence [2] 74/7 75/8	47/16 48/20 50/3 50/5 50/14 51/3 51/3	sitting [1] 67/8
residential [6] 5/15 37/11 37/12 38/15	54/8 55/14 55/23 70/22	situation [2] 55/15 64/7
50/5 55/7	scope [1] 79/2	six [3] 36/8 41/3 42/17
resolution [1] 51/2	scrutiny [13] 29/18 59/21 59/25 61/17	six-month [1] 42/17
respond [1] 63/16	65/14 67/16 68/10 69/15 69/24 71/7 72/20	size [2] 11/11 11/12
responds [1] 23/10	74/6 74/13	skills [2] 5/9 5/10
response [1] 66/7	seal [1] 80/21	Slightly [1] 46/18
responsibility [1] 15/11 responsible [1] 15/17	second [2] 79/16 79/17	slot [1] 46/5
resubmit [1] 68/9	Secretary [1] 34/11	slots [15] 44/2 44/5 44/7 44/8 44/13 45/9
review [7] 51/17 53/15 59/21 59/24 61/12	see [17] 6/23 17/2 17/3 19/1 22/13 22/18	45/13 46/13 47/20 47/24 49/15 49/21
64/13 74/19	23/18 25/21 33/11 41/18 44/10 44/20 48/8	50/17 50/18 50/19
reviewed [3] 61/14 62/22 71/15	51/23 55/17 70/19 78/3	smaller [1] 52/19
reviewing [2] 44/24 61/16	seeing [3] 65/9 69/21 77/22	Smith [1] 2/21
reviews [1] 69/9	seem [2] 7/2 65/20	so [181]
Rick [2] 2/4 75/1	seems [2] 56/24 73/16	so's [1] 23/20
right [67] 3/14 7/13 7/17 7/25 8/1 8/9 8/25	seen [3] 32/14 34/16 72/25	so-and-so's [1] 23/20
9/18 10/5 10/5 10/15 12/6 16/10 16/15	selected [1] 75/17	so-many [1] 70/21
17/7 17/12 18/22 19/23 20/9 20/11 20/14	self [3] 62/8 63/14 75/14	solving [1] 5/10 some [43] 3/7 5/4 5/7 10/17 10/21 15/25
22/7 22/24 26/20 27/16 28/7 28/11 30/25	self-advocate [1] 62/8 self-advocates [2] 63/14 75/14	19/16 19/19 19/20 20/10 20/22 21/2 23/21
32/17 33/20 38/5 38/16 40/1 43/4 46/21	send [11] 5/1 23/9 23/14 24/5 24/6 32/4	32/19 32/20 34/1 35/5 35/20 35/21 36/19
47/13 48/7 48/21 50/6 51/3 55/8 56/19	33/20 45/1 50/13 63/15 75/16	37/6 38/7 40/10 45/25 49/15 49/24 52/11
56/23 57/11 57/18 57/24 57/24 58/7 58/10	sending [2] 25/8 44/22	52/18 55/8 60/1 60/16 61/5 62/7 62/9 63/4
58/15 58/24 65/8 65/18 68/16 69/10 69/25	sense [5] 15/19 17/14 17/19 22/9 74/17	66/3 67/7 68/23 70/8 71/16 72/24 74/5
70/6 71/18 72/15 72/16 73/15 73/24 76/19	sent [11] 24/21 25/3 29/17 34/16 44/18	77/21
76/24 77/11 77/13 79/15	53/25 59/16 59/18 61/1 65/25 68/12	somebody [3] 35/22 36/18 57/23
rights [2] 45/2 76/4	separate [2] 13/11 60/6	someone [1] 59/8
road [1] 34/25 robust [5] 27/11 27/15 30/12 33/5 51/23	separately [1] 13/15	something [12] 5/22 21/8 30/13 41/7
role [1] 18/3	serve [3] 45/6 55/9 62/10	52/18 53/12 58/8 58/17 62/13 68/23 70/21
roll [1] 74/21	service [8] 5/18 12/16 12/17 12/19 20/5	75/23
room [5] 32/8 60/2 76/23 77/1 77/4	38/14 39/24 74/5	sometime [1] 52/7
Roughly [1] 48/5	serviced [1] 50/2 services [21] 1/2 1/3 5/11 5/12 12/5 14/4	sometimes [1] 50/9 somewhat [1] 4/15
round [2] 69/13 71/14	16/2 17/8 37/10 37/13 37/14 37/15 37/23	somewhere [1] 43/24
route [1] 42/8	38/13 38/14 38/21 40/17 49/19 55/7 55/18	soon [5] 29/21 29/22 39/17 39/18 67/10
RPR [1] 80/23	69/2	sorry [2] 71/21 76/25
rude [1] 79/7	session [1] 40/14	sort [2] 16/25 17/18
rule [13] 12/7 20/11 28/23 29/17 59/2	sessions [1] 61/13	sound [1] 48/2
59/20 68/12 69/11 69/21 70/11 73/3 73/14 76/3	set [7] 13/8 13/13 13/14 21/18 21/20 77/4	sounds [1] 10/16
	80/20	speak [1] 8/19
run [2] 49/1 78/21 running [1] 47/4	setting [7] 68/15 69/6 69/23 74/9 74/15	speaking [4] 28/19 30/23 73/8 78/23
	74/16 74/20	specific [3] 6/2 8/15 74/15
S	settings [11] 65/22 66/7 66/14 66/22 67/17	
safe [1] 37/9	68/14 71/6 71/9 72/4 72/4 72/8	61/25 78/18 79/11 specificity [1] 30/13
said [23] 24/16 32/16 34/12 34/17 38/10	several [2] 21/2 66/2 Shannon [1] 2/18	spend [1] 13/2
40/4 45/16 45/25 53/23 54/1 59/25 60/3	shared [2] 5/18 15/16	spend [1] 13/2 spotty [2] 6/25 7/1
60/12 60/19 61/25 65/12 65/14 80/8 80/12	she [4] 25/19 34/13 77/5 77/5	staff [4] 32/24 33/1 33/2 33/2
80/15 80/16 80/17 80/17	Sherri [2] 2/15 5/3	staffed [2] 74/6 75/7
sake [1] 16/23	Sherri's [1] 19/12	stagnant [1] 61/16
same [10] 11/18 18/9 18/12 18/19 21/16	should [7] 13/4 16/24 20/2 26/18 52/5	stagnate [1] 67/8
21/25 22/5 60/19 64/23 67/19 sampling [1] 65/16	52/25 76/2	stakeholder [6] 4/3 28/25 32/1 33/3 58/8
sampling [1] 03/10 saw [2] 32/16 65/23	show [2] 59/7 63/3	64/13
saw [2] 32/10 03/23 say [37] 10/17 12/13 19/5 20/9 23/19	showed [1] 60/2	stakeholders [5] 3/10 4/9 9/14 68/23 69/1
23/23 24/8 27/6 27/14 28/20 28/24 31/16	showing [1] 17/6	stand [3] 22/19 57/4 57/16
33/1 35/3 35/6 35/22 36/25 37/9 39/12	shown [1] 12/13	standards [1] 75/11
43/13 45/11 46/22 46/24 51/14 52/7 59/24	side [3] 78/24 79/3 79/9	standing [1] 77/3
63/7 63/21 67/7 68/1 68/5 68/23 69/20	signed [6] 22/14 46/1 62/20 63/4 63/8	start [4] 33/8 40/19 45/3 52/25
72/1 72/24 75/13 79/4	80/18 significant [1] 73/6	started [4] 34/12 65/11 68/12 71/8 state [20] 13/23 22/2 22/4 25/11 32/21
saying [9] 31/24 33/7 52/25 53/16 63/13	silent [2] 29/19 34/15	46/17 47/9 47/22 49/16 49/17 65/2 65/2

73/9 taught [1] 71/4 they've [1] 79/8 taxes [1] 6/10 state... [8] 66/5 66/15 66/21 67/18 73/1 thing [10] 7/4 7/6 8/5 17/19 29/6 47/5 team [5] 14/22 36/17 44/23 53/2 60/23 80/1 80/6 80/24 TECHNICAL [1] 1/7 64/23 70/23 75/14 77/19 stated [2] 80/7 80/8 things [26] 4/12 5/5 5/13 13/11 19/9 22/2 technology [1] 5/18 states [7] 34/9 37/2 64/18 64/22 64/25 telephone [2] 2/22 2/23 22/15 30/21 31/12 32/14 32/22 33/1 35/7 66/3 66/5 tell [7] 21/21 24/25 33/8 35/24 36/2 47/25 35/12 36/8 42/17 51/14 52/9 52/21 54/4 status [4] 45/19 50/14 78/6 78/7 64/1 55/21 59/15 60/22 70/17 75/25 79/7 stay [3] 44/21 45/1 53/17 telling [2] 35/22 41/23 think [40] 5/22 6/6 6/25 15/4 15/6 16/11 Stayce [1] 2/20 tells [1] 37/7 16/15 16/20 17/11 20/18 22/21 26/18 stays [2] 8/8 10/18 ten [1] 30/17 27/19 31/17 33/9 33/18 37/1 44/12 51/5 stenotype [1] 80/12 terms [1] 54/14 54/21 55/12 57/2 57/4 57/14 58/1 58/6 step [4] 3/20 4/5 35/4 60/16 terrible [1] 77/7 58/7 58/15 58/22 59/17 61/9 61/23 64/21 steps [1] 40/20 **testifying [1]** 80/18 65/22 66/4 66/7 66/21 70/9 75/1 76/2 Steve [3] 2/18 17/25 46/14 testimony [4] 4/25 80/12 80/15 80/20 thinking [2] 5/9 28/25 still [12] 9/24 15/20 16/20 18/9 19/17 25/9 this [70] 5/24 6/23 7/4 7/6 7/14 10/17 testing [2] 5/8 5/8 26/4 29/3 42/4 57/4 57/16 72/12 than [9] 16/3 22/3 31/22 33/6 33/10 37/5 11/21 14/2 14/2 15/5 17/5 17/13 19/9 stood [1] 22/21 41/22 46/18 79/12 19/25 21/22 23/24 24/9 24/16 24/18 24/21 **stop [3]** 3/16 63/6 63/10 thank [5] 30/10 40/8 43/25 50/23 54/12 24/21 25/10 27/3 27/14 28/10 28/14 29/9 stories [1] 38/7 31/20 32/10 33/12 34/12 34/13 35/3 36/1 that [400] story [1] 28/13 36/4 36/12 39/1 39/8 40/4 46/1 47/23 that's [88] **STREET [1]** 1/14 their [31] 6/6 7/24 10/25 11/10 11/23 47/24 48/10 48/20 55/17 56/17 58/9 58/14 strong [1] 68/22 12/12 14/7 14/8 23/4 23/5 24/17 25/15 59/23 64/6 64/12 65/5 66/6 68/2 68/3 71/6 study [17] 3/2 14/17 14/19 22/16 23/17 26/25 39/25 50/2 50/9 53/3 54/15 54/16 71/11 71/16 72/2 73/1 75/24 76/3 76/5 31/9 31/12 51/2 51/2 51/3 52/4 52/8 52/17 54/18 62/22 63/19 65/3 69/12 69/17 70/20 76/6 76/8 76/23 77/22 78/25 79/9 80/21 53/6 54/2 54/11 54/15 72/21 73/5 74/11 75/20 76/4 those [50] 3/1 4/11 4/12 5/19 6/5 8/20 19/9 stuff [2] 24/21 36/19 theirs [1] 70/25 20/19 28/23 29/1 30/17 30/18 31/12 32/22 submit [8] 22/6 29/3 29/4 29/5 41/1 44/11 them [56] 3/25 5/7 5/17 11/14 12/1 15/2 32/23 33/9 35/12 37/19 38/1 38/1 43/18 61/20 68/5 21/21 21/23 22/7 22/8 24/17 24/21 24/22 44/9 44/22 47/8 47/16 47/23 49/17 50/18 **submitted [6]** 65/22 66/3 66/6 66/17 66/18 25/3 25/4 25/12 26/11 29/17 29/20 36/16 51/16 52/12 53/22 59/6 59/8 60/17 60/18 71/13 37/22 45/1 51/22 55/2 55/3 55/4 57/6 60/23 61/4 61/11 61/12 61/13 61/16 61/19 **submitting** [1] 61/19 57/13 59/7 59/8 61/6 61/14 61/20 63/17 63/2 67/21 68/20 68/24 71/1 71/2 71/4 subsets [1] 8/17 63/20 64/1 66/13 70/3 70/19 71/17 71/23 78/19 substantive [1] 30/21 72/6 72/23 73/1 73/2 73/8 73/10 73/12 thought [3] 3/23 9/1 55/6 such [1] 49/25 73/12 73/13 74/13 74/14 75/18 75/20 thoughts [3] 23/5 28/21 35/5 sufficient [1] 49/7 76/16 79/5 three [4] 20/23 22/22 66/4 71/20 Summer [2] 27/20 27/21 theme [1] 7/21 through [15] 4/6 4/6 4/25 14/25 22/14 **support [3]** 6/22 13/7 32/20 then [57] 3/17 4/8 4/16 7/22 8/17 9/11 23/12 24/7 48/15 60/10 61/21 68/20 69/18 supporting [1] 6/13 9/25 10/9 10/10 11/7 11/17 12/14 12/15 69/19 71/11 78/8 **suppose [1]** 12/18 12/20 13/15 19/7 19/11 19/13 20/14 22/7 throughout [2] 48/25 72/25 supposed [1] 40/25 24/3 26/16 27/8 27/22 28/9 29/2 30/4 throwing [1] 53/16 sure [17] 5/4 5/25 14/1 16/17 22/4 29/25 30/18 31/16 33/7 35/5 36/4 37/21 42/14 ticking [1] 34/9 34/3 35/11 35/15 37/3 40/14 47/5 57/1 43/18 44/11 44/22 44/24 45/3 46/5 49/19 tied [2] 13/10 74/1 57/19 57/23 75/10 75/17 50/17 51/18 60/18 61/24 63/2 63/5 63/16 time [19] 5/7 27/23 29/9 32/18 32/18 surprised [1] 55/16 63/18 63/23 64/1 67/2 67/25 69/24 71/13 33/17 36/12 48/24 50/15 55/5 56/25 59/6 surprises [1] 3/4 73/8 75/15 60/11 67/25 75/21 76/7 76/11 77/12 80/8 suspending [1] 20/14 there [53] 3/18 8/22 8/24 10/25 12/3 13/22 timeline [1] 67/20 sworn [1] 80/11 14/21 15/6 15/7 19/12 20/3 20/10 20/18 today [2] 48/6 63/21 system [3] 34/22 35/2 37/7 21/1 22/6 24/8 25/7 26/11 26/21 27/11 **TODD [2]** 80/4 80/23 systems [2] 52/13 78/4 28/2 28/6 30/2 30/4 32/17 36/5 36/22 together [4] 14/23 30/4 31/16 34/21 40/16 40/20 41/3 41/20 43/24 44/1 48/5 told [8] 24/17 24/22 25/4 60/3 60/14 60/20 48/22 50/16 51/7 58/24 59/11 60/5 60/6 62/2 77/2 **TA [2]** 67/6 69/19 61/23 62/5 62/21 62/23 63/1 65/25 66/2 tomorrow [1] 63/23 table [5] 32/6 32/7 32/11 76/6 76/8 66/4 72/9 77/3 78/2 79/15 too [6] 7/4 25/25 28/11 34/7 47/6 67/1 TAC [1] 18/2 tool [4] 8/14 8/17 9/17 9/19 there's [22] 3/1 9/4 9/23 18/1 21/4 21/6 TACs [1] 18/3 25/9 33/23 39/19 39/19 44/2 47/15 49/22 tools [5] 8/13 8/20 8/23 9/5 9/8 take [14] 6/10 6/12 7/6 8/1 12/3 14/3 50/17 53/13 55/10 55/24 61/3 63/13 65/1 **total [2]** 43/10 43/17 26/16 28/14 29/23 31/18 34/23 46/1 46/23 66/21 72/3 touch [5] 26/3 27/2 27/7 37/20 37/20 57/6 **THEREUPON** [1] 79/21 touches [2] 33/12 37/25 taken [2] 40/21 80/12 these [17] 3/19 3/24 4/15 6/16 6/19 7/7 towards [4] 9/12 45/4 68/18 72/22 takes [4] 41/3 42/16 46/7 63/16 7/24 30/16 30/17 32/15 49/19 49/24 62/16 **Towles [1]** 2/20 taking [2] 33/16 38/9 town [16] 3/13 4/6 4/17 4/25 20/23 21/15 63/25 64/13 71/15 78/4 talk [6] 4/15 5/2 17/24 20/11 35/14 75/24 21/24 22/17 24/19 24/22 26/15 27/15 28/1 they [137] talked [7] 3/2 8/6 36/17 60/23 64/24 67/6 they open [1] 70/24 30/5 30/8 79/6 77/23 they'll [4] 29/2 29/6 42/1 75/9 **traditional** [1] 18/21 talking [12] 10/3 12/19 20/13 20/15 30/2 trained [2] 5/10 6/1 they're [20] 6/20 7/6 12/18 15/4 16/19 37/10 41/13 56/5 56/12 56/17 58/14 61/16 25/14 25/16 25/22 31/4 34/20 35/2 44/19 training [4] 5/8 6/18 61/3 75/7 tally [1] 31/9 44/25 49/5 61/7 64/17 65/6 69/3 69/14 transcription [1] 80/14

utilized [2] 45/17 49/19 77/23 78/20 79/4 week [5] 24/15 41/15 52/6 53/24 75/18 transition [6] 55/21 61/18 67/24 72/21 weekends [1] 5/15 73/5 73/6 vague [3] 36/8 67/18 67/19 weeks [1] 29/20 transitioning [1] 56/11 Van [5] 51/8 51/9 51/21 53/2 53/18 welcome [1] 40/9 transparent [1] 53/8 various [2] 8/18 34/9 well [24] 3/1 15/24 16/21 17/9 22/1 35/2 **transportation [2]** 5/12 78/11 verification [1] 33/25 38/18 42/19 43/8 50/4 51/5 52/20 58/1 travel [1] 75/19 versus [2] 35/7 35/8 59/14 60/3 60/9 60/13 60/19 61/22 62/10 tried [1] 67/6 very [17] 7/1 7/2 15/22 19/3 24/11 24/23 63/11 71/4 72/7 74/18 true [3] 57/12 80/7 80/14 25/18 27/25 28/1 35/13 46/8 53/19 58/2 WellCare [1] 2/16 truly [1] 19/15 60/18 66/5 67/18 67/19 went [5] 45/13 52/6 52/22 53/23 60/8 try [4] 14/20 31/25 63/24 66/12 via [3] 2/22 2/23 24/1 were [27] 5/7 10/25 19/21 21/3 22/22 trying [6] 9/9 16/9 22/4 34/10 35/2 67/4 visit [1] 65/13 24/24 30/2 45/8 49/16 52/17 56/13 57/3 **turned [2]** 62/11 72/20 57/9 58/24 60/7 62/20 65/12 65/23 65/23 visits [1] 65/21 twice [1] 71/15 **vote [2]** 57/12 79/19 66/11 67/15 69/15 69/16 71/7 72/19 76/17 two [22] 5/16 13/11 15/6 17/13 17/22 voted [1] 57/9 22/25 29/20 30/25 44/3 47/14 60/6 60/7 weren't [5] 59/13 66/10 66/11 73/5 77/2 60/7 60/25 66/7 66/9 66/14 66/16 66/22 W Western [2] 21/19 22/1 69/13 69/14 77/10 wait [16] 9/18 9/20 9/22 9/24 10/10 36/25 what [80] 3/21 4/7 4/9 4/9 4/13 7/3 7/5 two-faced [1] 30/25 43/9 43/15 43/17 44/21 45/1 45/4 45/7 7/25 8/2 8/21 9/8 9/11 10/24 10/25 11/2 tying [1] 11/9 66/25 67/1 74/20 11/17 12/9 12/10 12/13 14/8 14/11 16/6 types [1] 54/3 waiting [12] 36/6 36/16 42/4 44/8 46/20 16/8 16/16 16/19 17/7 18/24 19/7 19/10 typically [2] 23/6 24/5 47/15 48/21 48/24 49/11 49/15 67/11 20/17 22/20 23/22 24/5 25/21 28/13 28/20 68/20 28/24 29/6 29/13 31/10 31/13 31/17 32/16 waiver [30] 3/17 5/11 7/15 13/6 13/20 33/8 34/2 34/14 34/19 34/21 35/8 35/20 **Uber [1]** 5/13 18/3 20/8 26/18 28/17 29/4 29/11 32/9 36/5 37/24 39/17 40/2 41/8 41/9 47/25 **uh** [16] 11/15 13/18 14/10 17/20 20/12 38/2 41/2 41/6 41/17 42/3 42/10 42/22 52/16 52/20 52/25 53/4 53/16 56/25 59/23 26/13 29/8 30/20 43/7 47/2 50/24 54/7 43/21 46/16 48/14 54/6 54/10 55/8 55/9 60/14 60/24 61/6 61/7 61/11 61/24 62/4 59/3 64/11 73/24 76/9 70/16 70/17 78/13 78/14 66/11 67/13 68/1 68/2 68/18 69/7 73/22 **uh-huh** [16] 11/15 13/18 14/10 17/20 waivers [9] 27/23 27/24 31/6 31/13 31/23 74/22.76/5 20/12 26/13 29/8 30/20 43/7 47/2 50/24 49/25 54/11 54/12 78/17 what's [10] 29/3 45/19 49/20 50/4 51/13 54/7 59/3 64/11 73/24 76/9 want [29] 4/2 4/7 5/2 17/2 17/3 17/24 22/8 55/15 56/20 58/1 67/5 79/4 ultimately [2] 15/17 61/19 26/8 31/5 32/5 34/1 42/8 45/10 47/5 48/22 **whatever [4]** 8/14 14/7 17/6 46/17 um [1] 77/3 53/17 55/2 56/3 58/25 59/1 61/15 63/25 when [24] 6/2 20/14 24/22 25/4 26/10 **Unanimous** [1] 79/19 63/25 64/4 64/5 64/5 64/9 66/25 75/13 27/14 27/18 30/1 32/15 33/1 34/12 42/17 under [4] 6/2 43/11 43/18 74/5 wanted [4] 24/19 40/17 52/14 62/8 44/14 45/8 52/17 59/17 60/5 65/11 68/11 understand [14] 10/24 13/25 15/3 16/22 wanting [2] 48/19 75/6 69/6 71/7 73/4 75/14 76/15 17/13 51/24 59/19 61/7 63/22 64/3 73/2 wants [1] 41/7 where [9] 9/13 10/7 18/2 68/19 69/2 69/3 73/7 73/10 73/13 was [50] 3/6 8/5 9/1 9/2 11/1 11/1 14/21 69/16 69/21 72/17 understanding [4] 16/15 16/19 17/11 14/24 16/8 22/20 22/22 23/7 23/10 24/22 where's [1] 34/17 68/18 24/23 25/4 34/13 40/12 40/16 46/14 48/1 WHEREOF [1] 80/20 unique [1] 11/14 51/5 52/18 53/4 53/5 53/22 55/13 55/16 whether [3] 16/13 51/14 53/21 **unit** [1] 13/8 59/16 59/18 59/20 60/4 60/13 61/1 61/23 which [11] 4/4 4/24 18/2 27/1 41/2 41/3 units [3] 12/6 12/19 20/5 61/24 64/13 65/13 66/12 66/16 67/14 42/7 50/7 56/19 56/24 70/16 unless [3] 33/23 37/19 62/2 67/14 67/18 67/19 72/8 73/7 77/6 79/21 while [1] 46/7 **unprofessional** [2] 6/7 6/15 80/11 80/12 **who [25]** 4/10 6/15 6/21 11/22 21/17 25/9 until [7] 46/5 49/1 49/21 68/6 71/25 74/21 **Washington** [1] 65/10 38/10 47/16 49/25 51/25 53/18 55/8 55/11 wasn't [6] 3/3 15/22 16/4 59/12 59/19 60/2 60/2 60/12 60/23 61/2 61/17 62/8 **up [32]** 4/22 5/6 14/12 17/16 22/15 22/19 73/6 62/8 62/9 64/8 69/2 74/5 22/21 25/20 26/10 44/4 46/1 47/20 55/3 watching [1] 53/20 who's [3] 6/2 51/9 75/16 59/7 60/2 60/5 62/20 63/3 63/4 63/8 64/14 way [21] 15/21 18/7 18/19 19/3 19/11 20/5 whole [3] 29/5 60/11 74/16 64/16 65/2 69/4 69/20 69/24 70/12 70/24 21/17 22/6 24/10 25/14 31/9 31/21 32/6 whose [1] 34/18 74/23 75/10 75/10 77/4 32/11 40/25 41/3 53/13 67/19 72/3 73/12 why [10] 16/23 17/13 24/24 38/23 46/1 **update** [2] 34/2 51/7 77/21 46/6 46/9 62/17 62/25 63/9 **update on [1]** 51/7 Wayne [1] 2/8 will [74] 4/13 9/13 9/17 10/18 11/4 11/7 **upfront** [1] 28/23 ways [2] 4/23 28/2 11/9 11/12 11/13 11/14 11/21 11/24 12/11 **upon** [1] 78/5 we [266] 12/12 12/23 13/13 13/14 14/9 15/13 15/14 upset [1] 25/2 we'll [14] 9/11 33/21 36/25 37/21 39/12 15/16 19/17 19/19 21/15 21/22 26/16 **upsets** [1] 6/17 39/16 39/18 41/14 44/11 45/1 46/13 57/23 26/17 26/24 27/10 27/11 27/15 28/6 28/10 us [19] 4/18 24/10 28/2 28/3 32/9 33/8 67/21 72/6 28/19 29/1 29/10 29/11 29/23 30/2 30/3 34/14 34/24 35/4 36/7 36/24 39/3 41/23 we're [39] 3/12 10/3 11/16 17/15 17/17 30/4 30/4 30/5 30/19 31/25 35/4 35/6 44/23 51/22 52/19 52/20 53/3 68/3 18/25 20/13 20/15 23/3 26/5 28/24 31/19 35/18 35/24 36/3 36/10 38/12 39/6 44/22 usage [2] 11/7 19/6 33/16 34/18 35/23 36/6 36/15 37/10 40/23 48/25 51/12 51/12 52/9 53/2 53/19 54/4 use [4] 13/7 46/23 67/25 69/2 40/25 41/12 41/13 44/8 47/4 47/16 48/11 54/5 54/18 60/15 61/12 62/3 65/15 67/9 used [2] 9/17 49/16 54/11 66/25 67/4 67/8 67/11 68/17 69/18 67/20 67/22 67/25 68/6 72/13 79/10 user [1] 7/9 69/21 70/25 71/3 72/17 72/23 79/13 wish [1] 25/11 using [1] 13/24

we've [15] 3/25 28/22 32/2 32/2 32/5

33/10 35/21 48/8 49/10 51/11 60/14 65/25

usually [3] 42/16 75/3 75/8

within [16] 4/22 8/13 12/15 12/17 15/14

19/6 23/8 31/20 33/3 33/12 34/24 47/3

within... [4] 47/9 53/2 69/21 79/11 without [1] 17/15 witness [3] 80/9 80/15 80/18 won't [7] 12/3 18/20 65/9 65/15 71/24 78/25 79/9 wonderful [1] 25/18 word [1] 39/6 work [14] 4/10 6/5 9/12 11/1 33/21 34/24 52/4 62/15 62/18 67/22 67/24 72/1 72/6 78/22 worker [1] 75/20 workers [2] 5/25 79/6 working [19] 9/13 11/4 34/18 36/18 48/11 51/8 51/10 53/3 68/17 68/20 69/18 71/1 71/24 72/22 72/23 73/1 78/24 79/3 79/10 works [3] 6/15 13/23 34/5 worried [1] 50/16 would [43] 3/4 13/3 13/6 13/9 13/16 14/6 14/14 14/17 14/22 16/1 18/11 18/14 19/13 20/17 24/2 27/8 29/9 29/13 29/14 29/15 31/8 31/20 32/10 34/23 37/9 39/3 41/16 46/23 47/8 47/8 54/16 56/1 57/2 57/3 58/17 59/24 62/9 63/8 64/7 64/8 72/9 72/9 74/23 wouldn't [6] 13/8 20/19 22/18 23/20 62/2 70/2Wow [1] 46/11 write [1] 27/23 writing [2] 5/1 80/17 written [2] 15/21 52/3 Yahoo [2] 23/20 23/22 **veah [40]** 4/1 6/23 7/13 8/4 9/6 10/4 12/9 13/21 15/20 16/6 16/8 17/21 17/24 20/16 24/4 26/4 26/23 27/22 28/18 29/24 30/15 36/14 36/22 36/25 41/12 42/13 43/8 46/18 56/9 56/20 57/1 57/24 58/18 58/22 66/19 66/23 74/3 76/17 76/18 77/16 vear [20] 13/7 18/10 29/9 29/18 34/13 40/20 46/16 47/21 47/23 47/25 48/10 48/12 48/13 48/14 48/16 48/24 49/1 50/20 66/1 68/12 vears [3] 44/3 47/20 49/14 vears' [1] 49/8 yes [24] 10/2 10/6 14/18 18/16 18/17 18/22 18/23 27/17 29/14 29/15 29/15 30/23 32/17 34/6 34/11 38/12 38/22 41/19 43/4 51/21 53/18 53/25 65/7 72/18 **vet [3]** 41/9 56/2 57/16 you [150] you'll [3] 4/21 9/25 58/7 **you're [20]** 16/17 16/17 17/4 17/4 17/5 17/6 17/7 18/12 18/13 40/9 56/12 57/24 57/24 69/3 69/4 70/13 71/21 72/2 72/3 you've [1] 12/13 **you-all** [6] 19/1 26/6 32/17 33/11 52/5 67/12 **your [12]** 4/18 12/14 19/10 21/22 23/8 23/13 24/9 25/23 29/13 69/1 69/5 74/21